

A Cognitive Approach to Personal Transformation

Breaking Bad Habits

Change the pattern without turning yourself into the problem.

PsyForU Editorial Collective

Bookskart World

Publication and reader information

A Cognitive Approach to Personal Transformation: Breaking Bad Habits

First edition, 2026

Author: PsyForU Editorial Collective

Publisher: Bookskart World

Copyright © 2026 Bookskart World. All rights reserved, except where permission is granted below or otherwise required by applicable law. Readers may reproduce the original blank worksheets for their own personal use. Commercial redistribution, resale and republication require permission from the publisher. Rights in cited research and external resources remain with their respective owners.

This book provides general education and optional reflection about everyday behaviour. It is not individual psychological treatment, a diagnostic instrument, an addiction programme or a substitute for professional assessment. The title describes the subject of the book, not a promise of transformation. No exercise guarantees a particular result or a deadline for change.

The characters, conversations, records and organisations in the worked examples are fictional. Their outcomes illustrate decisions and limitations, not clinical evidence or testimonials. The worksheets are original planning aids and have not been validated as assessment scales.

Research and service information was checked on 3 October 2026. Availability, contact routes and guidance can change. Consult the relevant service directly. No organisation cited here endorses this book. The interior is designed for a 6 × 9-inch, no-bleed paperback. The external cover and spine are separate production items.

Before you begin: care and safety

Keep this project within its limits

Choose an ordinary, low-risk repeated behaviour, such as drifting from a draft into a feed or beginning an unnecessary chore when it is time to leave. Do not use this book to withdraw from alcohol or drugs, alter prescribed medicine, restrict food or sleep, manage self-harm, or conduct your own treatment for compulsions or an eating disorder. Alcohol withdrawal can be dangerous and sometimes life-threatening. Obtain medical advice rather than attempting an abrupt withdrawal plan. [14, 15, 16]

Support does not have to be earned

Seek professional advice when a behaviour causes significant distress, injury, loss of control or disruption to daily life. Persistent difficulties may deserve assessment even when a habit explanation initially seems plausible. NIMH highlights severe or distressing symptoms and difficulty carrying out usual activities as reasons for seeking care. Do not wait for a worksheet, a diagnosis or a two-week threshold when help is needed sooner. [13]

When help is urgent

If you may act on thoughts of harming yourself or someone else, or there is immediate danger, contact local emergency services or go to the nearest emergency department. Ask a trusted person to help you reach care when possible. This book is not a crisis or personal safety plan.

United States: call or text 988. United Kingdom and Ireland: Samaritans, 116 123. India: Tele-MANAS, 14416. These are geographically specific support routes, not one worldwide service. Further details and sources appear on page 143. [18, 19, 20]

Stop any exercise that increases distress, repetitive checking or shame. You can read without recording, ask for support, or put the book aside.

A different starting point

You may already know the sentence you usually say after the behaviour: “Again. Why am I like this?” Perhaps you checked a feed while a task waited. Perhaps you began one more small job and left later than you intended. Perhaps you escaped an uncertain decision by switching to something easier. The consequences matter. So does the way you investigate them.

This book begins with a more useful question: “What does this behaviour do for me in the moment, even when I dislike its longer-term consequences?” An answer might involve relief, stimulation, connection, familiarity or a genuine unmet need. Sometimes there is no clear answer yet. You do not have to invent a hidden motive before making a practical change.

A cognitive approach pays attention to the meanings and predictions surrounding action. It does not claim that thoughts explain everything. An inaccessible tool, an unpredictable roster, a missing instruction or too much work may be a substantial part of the problem. Thoughtful reflection must leave room for those facts.

You will work with one behaviour, one setting and a plan that grows gradually. Each chapter adds a decision rather than another demand. Some readers will change an everyday pattern. Others will discover that the target needs revising, that support is missing, or that professional care is the more appropriate next step.

The aim is not to become a person who never slips. It is to develop a more accurate account of what happens, a usable alternative and a way to respond when circumstances change. Your worth is not one of the variables being tested.

Contents

Part I: Understand the Pattern Without Condemning Yourself

1. A Habit Is a Pattern, Not Your Identity.....	9
2. Triggers, Interpretations, Actions and Consequences.....	17
3. Choose One Behaviour Worth Changing.....	25

Part II: Design a Different Response

4. Identify the Need Behind the Behaviour.....	33
5. Examine the Thoughts That Keep the Pattern Going.....	41
6. Choose an Alternative You Can Actually Use.....	49
Read first: care and safety.....	3
How to use the book.....	7
Meet the people in the examples.....	8

The page numbers refer to this fixed-layout interior. A digital copy includes links to chapters and tools. You can begin with a concern that feels immediate, but the working plan makes most sense when you return to the earlier decisions before adding more changes.

Contents, continued

Part III: Make Change Work in Everyday Life

7. Adjust Your Environment and Reduce Tempting Shortcuts.....	57
8. Prepare for Stress, Boredom and Social Pressure.....	65
9. Use Reminders and Support Without Constant Self-Monitoring.....	73

Part IV: Continue Beyond the First Attempt

10. Learn from a Lapse Without Declaring Failure.....	81
11. Review Whether the New Pattern Is Serving You.....	89
12. Your Personal Habit-Change Plan.....	97
Practical toolkit.....	105
A flexible four-week practice.....	123
Closing reflection.....	137
Evidence notes.....	139
References.....	140
Support and further information.....	143
Tool index.....	144

How to use the book

Choose one behaviour that belongs to you, not one you want to remove from somebody else. Start with a small, low-risk setting. You might investigate opening a personal feed during a particular work block, rather than declaring that you must “fix your relationship with technology.” A narrower question is easier to describe and easier to revise.

Chapters follow a familiar rhythm: a recognisable moment, an explanation, purposeful reflection, an alternative response, a small experiment and a later review. The discussion between these features explores complications. You will not be asked to accept a new technique merely because it has a memorable name.

Keep a single working page. At the end of each chapter, add or amend the indicated part. The toolkit contains completed examples and reusable forms, but completing every form is unnecessary. A few spoken notes, accessible text or one line on paper may be enough. Protect your privacy, especially on shared devices and employer-controlled systems.

The four-week practice is a suggested sequence, not a claim about how long change takes. An activity may require more time, no time at all, or support that is currently unavailable. Skipping an exercise is not the same as failing an experiment. Never manufacture a risky situation to produce an observation.

Numbers in square brackets identify sources in the reference section. Research findings are distinguished from the editorial suggestions and fictional examples. Your own notes cannot establish a clinical diagnosis, prove a mechanism in the brain or show that a method works for everyone. They can help answer a smaller question: “Is this arrangement useful enough to continue in my circumstances?”

Three lives, one working method

Lena: leaving a difficult sentence

Lena coordinates operations for a small organisation. During a scheduled drafting block, an uncertain sentence often sends her to a personal feed on her phone. She returns to an unfinished handover and sometimes carries work into the evening. Her phone also carries necessary work messages and calls about her mother's care. Removing the device completely would solve the wrong problem.

Lena's example runs through the whole book. You will see an initial description become a plan, then encounter an interruption, incomplete support and a mixed review. Her aim is not zero phone use. It is a more deliberate response when one difficult sentence interrupts a specific piece of writing.

Arun: one more task before leaving

Arun works changing shifts and shares some household responsibilities with his brother. He sometimes starts a nonessential chore when departure preparation needs to begin. On other days he is delayed by unreliable transport or a care handover. Those are not interchangeable explanations. His example shows how to separate a modifiable action from conditions requiring coordination.

Jo: changing tools without losing access

Jo is a freelance illustrator with fluctuating pain who uses accessibility features and an online creative community. Opening reference galleries can support a commission or become a way to postpone a difficult mark. Her example tests whether an alternative preserves access, rest and legitimate creative work.

These people are fictional. None is a diagnosis in disguise. Borrow an idea, not a life story. Your working plan will answer twelve connected questions about the same behaviour, while leaving room to decide that a different target, a practical request or professional support is needed.

Part I: Understand the Pattern Without Condemning Yourself

1. A Habit Is a Pattern, Not Your Identity

A moment you recognise

Lena opens the handover document. Three lines are straightforward. The fourth needs a figure she is not entirely sure about. Her hand moves towards her phone. She checks a personal feed, follows one interesting link and then another. When she looks back, the document feels more difficult, not less.

“I have no discipline,” she says. It sounds like an explanation, but it gives her very little to work with. It does not identify the uncertain figure, the reachable phone, the short relief of leaving the sentence or the fact that a colleague has not confirmed the information. It also ignores the reports she completes without this detour.

A character verdict makes everything seem relevant and nothing seem changeable. A description offers a smaller, more useful place to begin. Lena is not investigating whether she is a disciplined person. She is investigating what happens when she meets uncertainty during a particular drafting block.

You may recognise the frustration without sharing the behaviour. Keep the frustration in view, but set aside the verdict for a moment. A repeated action can be worth changing without becoming the definition of the person who performs it. This chapter starts your working plan with a description that can actually guide a decision.

What may be happening

Habit researchers generally distinguish habit from repetition alone. A behaviour can recur because you deliberately choose it each time, because circumstances repeatedly demand it, or because familiar cues have come to bring a response readily to mind. Wood and R  nger describe habits in relation to learned context-response associations and their interaction with deliberate goals. Frequency by itself does not tell the whole story. [1]

For your project, treat automaticity as something to explore cautiously, not something you must prove. You might notice that your hand reaches for the phone before you recall deciding to check it. You might also notice a clear thought: “I will return when I feel ready.” The same episode can contain both a quick response and deliberate choices that follow it.

An ordinary routine is not an enemy. A familiar route to work, a place for your keys or an established method of saving a file can be useful. The question is whether the particular pattern is serving you in the setting where it occurs. You are not trying to make every action effortful or consciously supervised.

The word “bad” in the title refers to a pattern the reader finds unhelpful, not a moral category of people. Even that judgment should be examined. Is the behaviour causing a consequence you want to address, or does somebody dislike a harmless difference in how you work? A person who uses written reminders, takes an accessible route or needs a different preparation sequence is not automatically demonstrating a bad habit. Begin with impact and context rather than an imagined ideal of how a capable adult ought to behave.

The deadline that research did not give you

The often-quoted 66 days comes from a study of forming new behaviours, not a universal test of breaking unwanted ones. Lally and colleagues followed volunteers practising a selected eating, drinking or activity behaviour in a consistent context. The median modelled time to approach an individual's automaticity plateau was 66 days, with considerable variation. Some estimates extended beyond the study's observation period. This was not evidence that everybody completes every change on day 66. [2]

There is no defensible reason to turn 21, 30 or 66 days into your personal pass mark. Nor should four weeks of exercises be advertised as a treatment dose. A calendar can help organise observation. It cannot establish that an old association has been erased, that a new response will work in every setting or that further help is unnecessary.

Think instead about decisions at review points. Is the target still useful? Did the cue occur? Was the alternative available? Were there costs you had not anticipated? These questions remain valuable whether a pattern changes quickly, slowly or not at all.

Lena initially wants a finish date: "Tell me when I will stop doing this." A more honest agreement is that she will examine a small sample of ordinary drafting opportunities and use what she learns to revise her plan. She can stop an unhelpful experiment before the scheduled review. She can also continue a useful support after the novelty wears off. Neither decision requires a dramatic claim about becoming a different person.

Pause and reflect

Take a sentence you have used about yourself and make it more observable. “I am hopeless with time” might become “I start a nonessential task after I have decided it is time to prepare to leave.” “I always avoid difficult work” might become “When I cannot decide how to begin this report, I open another application.” Do not force precision you do not yet have. “Sometimes” is more accurate than an unsupported “always.”

Ask: “What happened that another person could have noticed, without guessing what kind of person I am?” Then ask: “What consequence makes this worth my attention?” A third question is: “When does this description not fit?” Exceptions are useful because they prevent a small set of memories from becoming a total account of your life.

Arun writes that he is late for everything. When he checks the description, he finds that he usually starts his shift on time when the household handover is settled. The phrase “everything” has combined optional chores, changed shift times and unreliable buses into one accusation. His revised description does not excuse the chores. It separates them from the transport problem.

Keep reflection brief enough to remain usable. You are not building a legal case against yourself, collecting every mistake or requiring a witness. One recent, low-risk example is sufficient for today. Leave another person's private details out of the note. At the bottom, write one sentence that separates behaviour from identity: “This is an action in a setting that I want to understand.” The sentence is an editorial prompt, not an affirmation you must believe before continuing.

A different response

Lena's first draft of the problem reads, "Stop wasting time and become focused." She revises it while talking to her friend Mina, who has agreed to listen rather than supervise.

"Which part of the day are you thinking about?" Mina asks.

"The report block after the team handover. I hit a sentence I cannot finish, then I open my personal feed."

"Is the phone always unrelated to the report?"

"No. Sometimes I need a work message or a call about Mum. I don't want to block those."

"Then perhaps the target is the feed detour, not the phone."

The revised description is narrower: "During a planned, non-urgent drafting block, I sometimes open a personal feed when a sentence feels uncertain. I want a response that helps me handle the uncertainty without losing necessary contact."

This is not yet a full plan. It is a better question. It leaves room for purposeful checking, genuine interruptions and occasions when the report itself is under-specified. It also makes clear what Lena is trying to protect: finishing a reasonable amount of work within her available time, not demonstrating permanent concentration.

You can conduct the same revision alone. Read your description as though it belonged to somebody you respect. Would you know what action it referred to? Would you know what was outside its scope? Would it allow the person to say, "That was not the situation I meant"? A workable description needs boundaries as well as ambition. It should help you notice an event, not encourage you to monitor your whole personality.

Accountability without a character sentence

Separating a pattern from identity does not make its consequences disappear. If you arrive late for a shared responsibility, another person may have been inconvenienced. If you miss a promised update, a colleague may have had to chase it. A respectful account includes those effects without claiming they reveal your entire character.

Consider two versions of an apology. “I am terrible, I ruin everything” may leave the other person reassuring you. “I started another task after our agreed departure time, and you waited. I am sorry. I will review that sequence and tell you if the new arrangement changes what we have agreed” names the action and the practical next step. It does not promise flawless future behaviour.

Sometimes the responsibility is shared or located elsewhere. A changed rota that you were not told about is different from a message you chose not to send. A request for an accessible format is not avoidance. The point is not to move every explanation away from you, but to avoid moving every explanation inside you.

Jo finds this distinction useful when a client calls her research process “indecisive.” Some reference browsing is necessary to the commission. Some is a detour she wants to change. Instead of accepting the label, she asks which deliverable is needed and then reviews her own sequence. She can acknowledge a delay while questioning an unclear brief.

A sound starting point can therefore hold two truths: “My actions matter,” and “A global insult will not tell me which action to change.” You will build the plan from both.

Try one small change

Start the first line of your working plan. Name one everyday action and the setting in which you want to examine it. Use a sentence such as: “When ____ happens in ____, I often ____, and the consequence I want to reduce is ____.” This is a drafting aid, not a required formula. Plain language is more valuable than filling every blank elegantly.

Check the target against the care boundaries on page 3. A project about a personal feed during a short work block is different from a project involving compulsive checking that causes serious distress. A plan about departure preparation is different from trying to override exhaustion while driving. Choose the low-risk behaviour, and obtain appropriate help for the more serious concern.

Next, add one thing the plan must preserve. Lena writes “necessary work contact and calls about care.” Arun writes “enough time for the actual household handover, without rushing essential tasks.” Jo writes “pain-related rest and access to the tools I use to work.” Preservation matters because a plan can reduce a count while damaging something more important.

Do not begin by deleting every application, making a public pledge or ordering an expensive organiser. Those may be tempting ways to make change feel official. Today you need only an accurate description and a protected need. You can begin observing the setting before deciding what to alter.

Put the note somewhere you can find it without exposing it unnecessarily. A private notebook, an accessible document or a spoken note can work. A public announcement is not part of the task.

Return to this later

At your next review, ask whether the description helped you recognise an ordinary event. You may discover that it is still too wide. “Checking the phone” could mean authentication, reading a care message, opening a feed, taking a photograph of a document or using a communication aid. Those actions do not necessarily have the same purpose or consequence.

You may also find that the setting almost never occurs as imagined. A planned uninterrupted work block may be a fiction in a role that requires continuous response. That does not make you a poor observer. It identifies a design problem that your plan must respect. You might choose a different setting or discuss work expectations before attempting a behaviour change.

Lena revises “after the handover” to “after the handover, when I have been given a short drafting window and no immediate response duty.” This reduces the apparent number of opportunities, but improves the honesty of the project. She is no longer counting every interruption as the unwanted pattern.

Look for one observation that contradicts your harshest account. Perhaps you returned after noticing the detour. Perhaps you asked for a missing instruction. Perhaps you decided not to begin because the conditions were unsuitable. These observations do not prove success. They make the record less selective.

Your plan now has a behaviour, a setting, an impact and a protected need. The next chapter adds what comes before and after the action. Keep the first description provisional. A useful plan becomes more accurate through revision; it does not need to defend the first explanation you wrote down.

2. Triggers, Interpretations, Actions and Consequences

A moment you recognise

Arun has put his bag beside the door. The clock says it is time to begin leaving. Then he notices dishes in the sink. He tells himself that washing them will take only a moment. When he next checks the time, the bus he intended to catch is no longer a realistic option.

“The dishes distracted me” is part of the account, but not all of it. He also made an estimate, wanted to avoid returning to an untidy kitchen and had not agreed with his brother who would finish the household tasks. The action solved something immediately, even while creating another difficulty.

An episode can be examined without deciding that you have discovered its single true cause. A trigger is a useful description of what preceded an action, not proof that the event made the action inevitable. An interpretation is what you remember thinking or expecting, not a hidden belief somebody else can confidently assign to you.

This chapter gives your working plan a sequence: what was happening, what you noticed or assumed, what you did, and what followed. The sequence is deliberately ordinary. You do not need a theory about your whole childhood or a perfect account of your internal life. You need enough information to identify one place where the next episode might be handled differently.

What may be happening

A simple behaviour record can include an external setting, an internal experience, an action and its consequences. For Lena, the setting is a drafting window and an uncertain figure. Her remembered interpretation is “I should already know this.” The action is opening a personal feed. The immediate consequence is a brief departure from the uncomfortable sentence. Later, she faces the same uncertainty with less time.

This is a working description, not a clinical formulation. She cannot observe every influence. Sometimes no clear thought is available to report. “I do not remember deciding” is an acceptable entry. Do not invent a thought to complete the diagram or assume that a feeling must conceal a particular belief.

The COM-B framework offers another useful perspective: behaviour depends on capability, opportunity and motivation interacting, rather than desire alone. A missing skill, an inaccessible process or an absent opportunity can matter alongside intentions. The framework is one way of organising questions, not a diagnosis or a complete explanation of every episode. [4]

Applied to Lena’s draft, this means asking whether she understands the report, has the information and authority needed, and has a reasonable opportunity to write. Asking only “Why did I give in?” leaves those questions out. Asking only about the environment would also leave out choices she can test. Your record should make room for both, without pretending that responsibility can be measured by dividing an episode into neat percentages.

Immediate usefulness and delayed cost

A consequence can make sense in the moment and still be unwelcome later. The personal feed offers novelty. The extra chore offers a tidier kitchen. Opening another reference gallery postpones the awkward first mark on a drawing. Recognising that usefulness does not mean approving every consequence. It helps you avoid selecting an alternative that meets none of the original need.

Do not assume that every repeated behaviour is rewarded in a simple, pleasurable way. A person may continue an action that is frustrating, or act before considering its outcome. Some patterns remain familiar even after circumstances change. For your everyday project, ask what followed rather than imposing a universal reward story.

Distinguish what you observed from what you inferred. “I spent several minutes reading unrelated posts” is an observation. “I wanted relief from feeling incompetent” is a possible interpretation. “I always sabotage important work” is an unsupported general conclusion. These sentences should not receive the same status in your record.

A delayed consequence may also have several causes. Lena worked late on a day when she opened the feed, but a missing figure and a late request also contributed. The record cannot tell her exactly how much time each factor caused. It can still identify a useful change: asking for the figure earlier and making a different response available when uncertainty appears.

The aim is a fair account that supports a decision. It is not a courtroom reconstruction, a confession or a demand to explain every minute.

Pause and reflect

Choose one recent, ordinary episode. Ask: “What happened just before the action, and which details do I actually know?” Include the task, place and practical conditions only where they seem relevant. You do not need a complete daily diary.

Then ask: “What did I expect the action to do for me?” You may have expected relief, stimulation, a sense of completion or a way to avoid an awkward question. You may not know. Record uncertainty plainly. A useful record does not require a satisfying motive.

Finally ask: “What happened immediately afterwards, and what became more difficult later?” Include any benefit as well as the cost. An account containing only costs may fail to explain why the alternative needs to offer something usable.

Arun writes that he expected the dishes to take two minutes. He does not know how long they actually took because he did not measure them. He does know that he missed his intended bus. At the next suitable opportunity, he can check whether beginning an optional chore is compatible with the departure time. He does not need to reconstruct the missing minutes precisely.

Keep other people out of the role of involuntary observers. A partner does not have to count your phone checks. A colleague does not have to verify your intentions. Where someone else's experience matters, ask about the shared impact respectfully, without expecting them to certify that your account is correct. Your private reflection and your responsibilities to others are related, but they are not the same document.

A different response

Lena makes a four-part note after work, while the event is still reasonably clear. She keeps it short enough to read without reliving the entire afternoon.

Part of the episode	Lena's provisional account
Setting and cue	A planned drafting window; one unconfirmed figure.
Interpretation	"I should know this already." She remembers this thought, but not every moment.
Action	Opened a personal feed before sending a clarification question.
What followed	Brief diversion; the figure remained unresolved; less drafting time.

The next question is not "Which box is the guilty one?" It is "Where is there a workable point of influence?" She could request the figure before the block. She could mark the uncertainty rather than stop the entire document. She could make the feed less immediately accessible while preserving necessary calls. These are different possibilities, not an instruction to change everything tomorrow.

She also notices something important: on another day, the same phone was used to obtain the missing information. That use should not be counted as the target behaviour. An accurate record protects useful actions from being swept into the wrong category.

Use the behaviour-context record in the toolkit when it helps. A spoken note or a few sentences can serve the same purpose. The tool is there to hold the distinction between what happened and what you think it meant, not to make your experience look tidy.

Look for variation, not only repetition

A pattern becomes clearer when you examine occasions on which it did not unfold in the expected way. Perhaps the task was better specified. Perhaps the phone was being used elsewhere. Perhaps you had already arranged a proper break. An exception is not proof of a cause, but it can suggest an alternative worth testing.

Jo notices that she starts rough sketches more readily when the client has selected a direction. When the brief asks for “something exciting” without examples or criteria, she keeps opening reference pages. It would be premature to conclude that ambiguity explains all her browsing. It would also be unhelpful to ignore the connection entirely.

She adds a question to her process: “What decision is the browsing supposed to help me make?” Necessary research can then have a purpose and a stopping point. A detour can be recognised without treating all research as avoidance.

Be cautious about treating remembered exceptions as experimental evidence. Your busiest day may also have involved less sleep, a different deadline and a new workspace. Several conditions can change together. You can use a modest observation to choose a modest trial without claiming certainty about the mechanism.

This is especially important when comparing yourself with another person. Their visible action may look similar while their workload, access needs or responsibilities are different. Your task is to understand the conditions surrounding your chosen behaviour, not to prove that someone else’s method should work identically for you.

Try one small change

Add one episode to your working plan using four short headings: setting, interpretation, action and consequence. Write “not sure” wherever it is true. Separate the immediate consequence from the later effect when that distinction is useful.

Now underline one condition you could reasonably investigate. It might be the lack of a clear starting instruction, the position of a shortcut or the absence of a departure cue. Do not choose a condition that requires another person to surrender privacy or remain permanently available.

The task today is observation, not a demand to resist the behaviour at every opportunity. You can stop an unsafe action, meet an urgent responsibility or seek help without waiting to collect a sample. Ordinary reflection should never delay necessary care or protection.

Lena underlines “unconfirmed figure.” Arun underlines “optional task after departure preparation should begin.” Jo underlines “unclear decision that research is meant to support.” Their notes describe different projects even though all three could be called procrastination in casual conversation. The more precise descriptions give them different options.

Choose a stopping point for record-keeping. One or two brief examples may be enough to begin. If recording itself becomes a repeated demand for certainty, adds substantial distress or consumes the time you intended to protect, stop and reconsider the method. The book does not require a complete record before you are allowed to change something. A rough, honest account can be more useful than an elaborate system that you cannot comfortably maintain.

Return to this later

Read the record as a provisional explanation. What information is strong enough to guide a small decision? What remains uncertain? Have you accidentally converted a possibility into a fact because you have written it several times?

You may notice that a trigger is too vague. “Stress” could refer to a difficult email, noise, an urgent care message or not knowing what the task requires. Where possible, move one level closer to the situation. “When the first instruction is unclear” gives you more to work with than “when life is stressful.” Where the situation cannot be simplified, say so.

Do not turn specificity into an endless search for the perfect cause. Lena does not need to know whether discomfort or novelty accounted for more of the feed detour. Her first trial can address the uncertain sentence while keeping other explanations open. Later observations may change her understanding.

Also examine who bears the cost of the pattern and the proposed change. If Arun's new plan simply leaves all household tasks to his brother without agreement, it may solve one problem by creating another. A behaviour-context record should lead towards a fair arrangement, not merely a lower personal count.

Your working plan now includes one sequence and one uncertainty to investigate. In the next chapter you will decide whether the target is appropriately sized, worth your attention and suitable for self-directed work. Understanding a pattern does not oblige you to make it your next project.

3. Choose One Behaviour Worth Changing

A moment you recognise

Jo starts with a list: stop browsing, stop delaying, keep better hours, answer messages sooner and finish every sketch before opening anything else. By the end of the list, almost every part of her working day has become a problem to solve.

The list contains legitimate concerns, but also conflicting demands. Answering messages sooner may interrupt drawing. Keeping better hours may require declining a deadline rather than working faster. Resting when pain rises may be sensible, even if it reduces the number of completed sketches.

She chooses a smaller question: “When I am ready to make a rough draft and have enough information, what happens between opening the file and making the first useful mark?” That question does not solve her business, health or schedule. It makes one ordinary sequence available for examination.

Choosing one behaviour is not a declaration that other needs do not matter. It is a way to avoid treating your entire life as a simultaneous improvement project. Some issues need practical negotiation, some require professional support, and some are harmless differences you can leave alone.

Your project should have a reason, a boundary and permission to change direction. A clear target is useful only if it serves a purpose you recognise as your own.

What may be happening

A goal such as “be more organised” names a desired impression, not an action you can test. A narrower target connects a situation with a behaviour: starting an optional chore after departure preparation should begin, or opening an unrelated feed when a draft becomes uncertain.

There is an important difference between an action and an outcome. Arriving on time depends partly on when you leave, but also on transport and events along the route. Finishing a report depends partly on your drafting choices, but also on receiving the necessary information. Select an action you can influence while keeping the desired outcome in view.

A narrow target should not conceal a structural problem. Lena’s available drafting time is sometimes removed by urgent duties. She cannot solve that by defining interruptions as personal failures. The project applies only when the agreed opportunity exists. If that opportunity is routinely absent, her next step is a work-design conversation, not a stricter reminder.

Make the target morally proportionate. A delayed personal message is not automatically neglect. A hobby you no longer enjoy does not necessarily need a completion plan. A different communication style may be entirely compatible with your responsibilities. Before changing an action, ask whose expectation it is serving and whether that expectation has been discussed.

An effective project can therefore be deliberately modest: reducing an avoidable detour in one setting while preserving rest, access, relationships and duties. Modesty is not a lack of seriousness. It makes the experiment more honest about what it can and cannot accomplish.

Choose an everyday project, not a substitute for care

This book is designed for low-risk, ordinary behaviour change. Persistent loss of control, marked distress, serious disruption or behaviours involving injury deserve a different level of attention. NIMH's help-seeking guidance includes distressing symptoms and difficulty carrying out usual activities as reasons to seek professional support. You do not need to wait for a crisis to ask. [13]

Do not use these worksheets as a self-directed plan for alcohol or drug withdrawal, eating-disorder behaviours, compulsions, self-harm or changing prescribed medication. Repetition alone does not make those concerns equivalent to opening a personal feed during a report. Their assessment, risks and treatment needs can be different. The resources on page 143 provide starting points. [14, 15, 16, 17]

A helpful decision is sometimes to choose no habit project for now. You may need a healthcare appointment, reliable meals, housing advice, safer work arrangements or relief from an overwhelming care load. A workbook should not compete with those needs or imply that they would disappear if you became more disciplined.

The boundary also applies to your method. Do not make deprivation, pain, humiliation, financial penalties or loss of necessary contact the price of an unwanted action. Do not practise resistance during driving or safety-critical work. A small reduction in a behaviour is not worthwhile if the method introduces greater harm.

A suitable project leaves you free to stop, seek help and revise the goal. The right to do so is part of the design, not a reward for doing well.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What would be better in my actual day if this pattern changed?” Look for an ordinary consequence rather than an impressive identity. You might want a less rushed departure, a completed first draft or more deliberate use of a short break.

Next ask: “What must not be lost in the process?” This may include necessary messages, access to assistive technology, reliable rest, respectful relationships or accurate work. A target without protected needs can become narrower in the wrong way: fewer phone checks, but missed care calls; faster departure, but unsafe rushing.

Finally ask: “What is the smallest setting in which I could learn something useful?” One particular task or transition is often easier to define than the whole day. You can broaden the plan later, but there is no obligation to do so.

Lena's answer is not “become a person who never checks her phone.” It is “handle an uncertain sentence more deliberately during a genuine drafting window, so the block is less likely to disappear into an unrelated feed.” Arun wants to stop adding optional chores after his departure cue, not to control the bus timetable. Jo wants a workable first mark on a sufficiently clear brief, not uninterrupted output despite pain.

Notice how each answer contains a condition. The condition is not an excuse inserted in advance. It describes where the project applies. Without it, any delay could be counted as the same behaviour, making the record both unfair and difficult to interpret.

A different response

Lena considers three targets before choosing one.

Proposed target	Why she revises it
Never use the phone at work	It would remove necessary contact and useful work functions.
Stop wasting time whenever uncertain	It is too broad and treats every pause as waste.
Try a deliberate response before opening a personal feed during an agreed drafting window	It names the action, setting and opportunity for choice.

She adds a purpose: “I want to leave more of the drafting within work hours.” This purpose matters, but it is not entirely under her control. Late information can still delay the document. The plan will review both her response and the conditions around it.

She also adds an exclusion: an urgent care call, a required work message or a reassigned duty is not a failed attempt. Exclusions should reflect real distinctions, not be invented afterwards to make the record look better.

Your first version can be less polished. Write the target, its purpose, the relevant setting and one protected need. Then read it literally. Could you tell whether an opportunity had occurred? Would a person with no knowledge of your character understand what you were trying to change?

If the answer is no, narrow the wording. Clarity makes it easier to learn from an attempt and easier to recognise when the project is not the right response to the problem.

When somebody else wants you to change

A behaviour may affect other people, and they may reasonably ask for a different arrangement. That does not mean every label they use is accurate or every proposed method is acceptable. Separate the shared impact from the explanation and the demand.

Arun's brother says, "You never think about anyone else." The useful issue is that an agreed handover has been delayed. Arun can acknowledge that without accepting a total judgment about his care for the family. He can ask what time is needed, discuss tasks and clarify what happens if transport changes.

A possible response is: "I agree that keeping you waiting has an impact. I am looking at the optional tasks I start after departure preparation should begin. I also need us to agree the actual handover. I do not think calling me selfish will help us make that arrangement."

Sometimes a requested change is unfair or controlling. Demands for passwords, constant location access, immediate replies or proof of every activity are not made reasonable by calling them accountability. Do not use this book to become easier to monitor or to justify monitoring another adult.

Where you feel unsafe challenging a demand, prioritise confidential support and safety rather than rehearsing a confrontation. The general conversation examples assume that an ordinary discussion is reasonably safe. They are not instructions for responding to coercion or abuse.

Ownership of your plan includes taking responsibility for genuine effects while retaining privacy, dignity and a say in the method.

Try one small change

Complete a one-paragraph project brief. Begin with the behaviour and setting. Add why the change matters, what the plan must preserve and what would make the project unsuitable for now. Keep a copy with your earlier episode record.

Choose a review point that fits your life. It might be after several suitable opportunities rather than a particular number of consecutive days. This is an organisational choice, not a scientific rule about how quickly habits should change. A week with no comparable opportunities may tell you more about the setting than the response.

Write a permission statement in practical terms: “I may simplify, pause, seek support or choose a different target if the method is unhelpful.” Permission does not cancel commitments to others. If an arrangement changes, communicate what they need to know without making a grand promise about permanent improvement.

Do not set a target that depends on never experiencing boredom, uncertainty or an urge. Those experiences may still occur. The project concerns what you can do in the situation, including choosing a legitimate break or asking for missing information.

For today, success means having a target that is understandable and appropriate, not already having changed it. You may cross out most of your original list. You may decide that a different problem deserves attention first. A careful choice prevents you from spending effort on an impressive-sounding goal that has little connection to the life you want to support.

Return to this later

Check whether the target still seems worth the effort. Sometimes the behaviour is less consequential than the shame attached to it. Sometimes the apparently small behaviour is part of a larger practical problem. Either discovery can change the plan.

Ask whether you chose the target freely enough to engage with it. A deadline, family responsibility or job requirement may be real even when it is not enjoyable. Ownership does not mean liking every task. It means understanding what is being asked and having a meaningful role in deciding how to respond where choices exist.

Jo decides not to include all reference browsing in her project. She also postpones a separate goal about rapid message replies because her current response agreement with clients is already workable. Removing that goal frees attention for the first-draft sequence that actually bothers her.

Lena confirms that the drafting window is sometimes available but not guaranteed. She keeps the narrow target and plans to record whether the opportunity existed, rather than counting every day as a trial. That distinction will become important when she reviews progress.

Your plan now has a defined scope. Part II will examine the immediate function of the behaviour, the thoughts you can genuinely identify and an alternative that fits the setting. Do not rush to select an alternative simply because it sounds healthier or more productive. It needs to address the situation you have described, not an imaginary version of your day with unlimited time and no competing responsibilities.

Part II: Design a Different Response

4. Identify the Need Behind the Behaviour

A moment you recognise

At the uncertain sentence, Lena tries telling herself, “Just stop checking.” Her hand stays away from the feed, but the sentence is still uncertain. She stares at it, feels more irritated and eventually opens the feed anyway. The instruction removed an action without helping her deal with the situation.

She had assumed that the alternative to checking was simply not checking. But the original action did something for her: it offered a change of focus while she did not know how to proceed. An alternative needs to make the next moment more workable, not merely look virtuous from a distance.

That does not mean every behaviour conceals a deep unmet need. Sometimes a familiar cue brings a familiar action to mind. Sometimes boredom, convenience and easy access are enough to explain the sequence provisionally. You do not need an emotionally dramatic explanation before making a practical change.

The question in this chapter is narrower: “What problem, feeling or demand was present, and what would help me respond to it without the unwanted detour?” Your answer might involve a clarification, a real break, a simpler task or a change in the setting. It may also reveal that the situation cannot be solved by substituting another personal behaviour.

What may be happening

One influential account of procrastination emphasises short-term mood regulation: leaving an unpleasant task can protect the present mood while creating costs for the future self. This is a useful possible explanation, not a verdict that every delay is avoidance or that every postponed task should have been completed. [5]

In your project, distinguish an inferred function from a stated need. “The feed may give me a brief escape from uncertainty” is an inference about an episode. “I need the missing figure to write an accurate report” is a practical requirement. Both may matter, but they call for different responses.

The word “need” can become unhelpfully broad. “I need comfort” may be true but difficult to translate into an action. “I need a brief pause after a difficult call, and a clear place to resume the draft” gives you something to organise. Move towards a description that fits the actual setting.

Avoid attributing motives to other people. A colleague’s delayed reply does not prove that they want you to fail. A family member who does not answer may be occupied, unavailable or choosing distance. Your plan can include how to ask for what you need without claiming to know why it has not been provided.

A practical response may address only part of the problem. Marking an uncertain sentence can allow drafting to continue. It cannot produce a figure held by somebody else. Keep that distinction visible so that a useful personal skill does not become a substitute for necessary cooperation.

Relief, rest and legitimate stopping

Not every departure from a task is an unwanted habit. Sometimes you need rest, pain management, food, a change of position or attention to an urgent responsibility. A plan that makes every pause suspect can leave you less able to recognise those needs.

Ask what distinguishes a chosen pause from the particular detour you want to change. A chosen pause may have a purpose, an appropriate place in the day and a realistic return point. A detour may begin before you have considered whether you need a break and leave the original problem unchanged. The distinction is practical, not a moral ranking of leisure and work.

Jo sometimes leaves a drawing because pain is rising. That is not the same event as opening a gallery because she dislikes the uncertainty of a rough first mark. Her alternative must preserve the ability to stop for pain. She does not use a streak, timer or public commitment to override it.

Lena also discovers that some supposed drafting windows follow demanding calls without any transition. On those days, a short, deliberate pause may be more realistic than an immediate attempt to concentrate. She discusses the schedule rather than pretending that concentration should appear on command.

Do not use this distinction to interrogate yourself about whether a break is deserved. You can make a reasonable decision with incomplete information. The plan is meant to help you choose more deliberately, not to establish a tribunal that approves every moment of rest.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What becomes easier for a moment when I do this?” You might leave uncertainty, obtain novelty, complete something simpler or avoid asking a question that feels uncomfortable. Write a possibility, not a diagnosis.

Then ask: “Which part of that benefit do I want an alternative to preserve?” If the original action offers a transition, the alternative may need a transition. If it creates a sense of completion, a small genuine completion may be useful. If it postpones a decision because information is missing, the next action may be obtaining that information.

Finally ask: “Am I trying to meet a need alone that requires another person's participation or a change in resources?” The answer matters. A new routine cannot manufacture reliable transport, adequate staffing or a care handover that has not been agreed.

Arun notices that doing dishes before leaving gives him relief from the thought that he is leaving work for someone else. Yet the household arrangement is unclear. His plan needs both an alternative response at departure time and a fair conversation about tasks. Leaving a note without any agreement would not solve the relational issue.

You may find several possible functions. Choose the one that is both plausible and practical to investigate first. You are not required to identify an ultimate motive. A small experiment can test whether a clearer starting instruction helps even while your broader explanation remains uncertain.

A different response

Lena compares three ways of responding to the uncertain sentence.

Response	What it addresses	What remains
Tell herself to be disciplined	Expresses a wish to continue	The figure is still missing; the instruction is vague.
Take an unplanned feed detour	Offers immediate diversion	The uncertainty and deadline remain.
Mark the missing figure, draft the known material and send a clear question	Creates a route through part of the task	A colleague still needs to respond.

The third option is not a guaranteed solution. It is a better match to one part of the situation. Lena can also choose a deliberate break when that is the need. The point is to separate the decisions rather than ask a personal feed to handle every kind of discomfort or uncertainty.

Her question to the colleague becomes: “I can complete the descriptive section now. Please confirm which figure should appear in the summary and when I can expect it. Until then I will mark it as unconfirmed rather than guess.”

Notice the professional boundary. She is not asking the colleague to manage her feelings or monitor her phone. She is asking for information needed to complete the work accurately. She can change her own response and still make a legitimate request of the environment.

For your project, compare alternatives by the situation they address, not by how impressive they sound. A workable response may be almost disappointingly ordinary.

When the apparent need is approval

You may repeat an action partly because it protects an image of being helpful, efficient or undemanding. Arun starts another chore because leaving it feels selfish. Lena hesitates to ask for a figure because asking seems to reveal that she should already know. These are understandable interpretations to examine, not established truths about what others expect.

A useful question is: “What has actually been agreed?” If a colleague expects accurate reporting, asking for missing information may serve that expectation better than guessing. If a household needs reliable departure and fair task sharing, an agreed division may serve both better than a last-minute attempt to do everything.

Approval cannot be completely controlled. A respectful request may still disappoint somebody. A reasonable boundary may be disliked. A habit-change plan should not promise an alternative that removes every uncomfortable reaction from every relationship.

Try separating the practical responsibility from the hoped-for response. “I will communicate the handover clearly” is an action. “Everyone will think I am considerate” is not an outcome you can guarantee. “I will make an accurate first draft” is different from “Nobody will ever see me uncertain.”

This distinction protects the plan from becoming another perfection project. You can make a responsible choice and still feel awkward. The awkwardness may be worth acknowledging without treating it as evidence that the original behaviour was necessary or that the new response has failed.

Try one small change

Add two lines to your working plan: “The immediate function may be ___,” and “A more workable response would need to ___.” Use tentative language where the evidence is tentative. You may list two possibilities, but choose only one to investigate first.

Lena writes: “The feed may offer diversion from an uncertain sentence. A more workable response would help me hold the uncertainty while doing the part I can do.” Arun writes: “The chore may reduce guilt about leaving. A more workable response needs an agreed place for the unfinished task, not a faster rush.”

Now identify one missing resource or agreement. This could be a clear instruction, an accessible format, a task handover or a realistic break. State who can help with it and whether that person has actually agreed. A hoped-for resource is not yet an available resource.

Do not add a replacement merely because it is commonly recommended. Drinking water, taking a walk or writing a gratitude list may have a place in somebody's day, but they are not automatically appropriate substitutes for the behaviour you have described. Choose for fit, accessibility and safety.

Today you are specifying what the alternative needs to accomplish. The exact action comes in Chapter 6, after you examine the interpretations surrounding the pattern. Keeping those stages separate reduces the temptation to collect techniques before understanding which practical problem you are asking them to solve.

Return to this later

Review the inferred function after an ordinary opportunity. Did the explanation help you choose a response? Did another need become more obvious? You can revise the explanation without treating the earlier note as a mistake that invalidates the whole project.

Lena finds that uncertainty is not the only influence. On one day she has the figures but wants novelty after a repetitive task. That episode suggests a different need: a planned transition rather than a clarification question. Her target remains the same narrow detour, but she may need more than one legitimate way to respond to the setting.

Keep a distinction between flexibility and complexity. You do not need a separate protocol for every mood. A small choice between “continue with a marked uncertainty,” “ask for information” and “take a deliberate pause” may be enough. If even that is too much, simplify further.

Ask whether the plan is becoming kinder in its language but unchanged in its demands. Replacing “lazy” with “unmet need” does not help if you still expect uninterrupted work without support. The practical conditions should change where the evidence points to them.

Your plan now includes a possible immediate function and a requirement for the alternative. The next chapter examines thoughts that may make the old response seem necessary. You will not be asked to argue with every thought or replace discomfort with relentless positivity. You will look for a more accurate statement that can guide the next action.

5. Examine the Thoughts That Keep the Pattern Going

A moment you recognise

“I need to feel ready before I begin,” Jo thinks. The sentence sounds reasonable because readiness seems connected with good work. She opens another reference page, hoping that the next image will provide the feeling she is waiting for.

But readiness has no clear definition. Does it mean understanding the brief, having the necessary tools, being physically able to work, or feeling certain that the first mark will be good? Those are different conditions. Some require practical preparation. One may be an expectation that a rough draft cannot meet.

Jo does not need to declare the thought irrational. She needs to ask what it means and whether it gives her a useful next step. “I need a sufficiently clear brief and a manageable first mark” is more actionable than waiting for an unspecified feeling of confidence.

Thoughts can shape choices, but this book does not assume that every repeated action begins with a conscious sentence. Sometimes you notice the behaviour first and a justification afterwards. Work with the thoughts you can genuinely identify, and leave space for context and automaticity.

A cognitive approach is most useful here when it makes your account more accurate. It becomes less useful when it tells you to dispute genuine problems, think positively about unreasonable conditions or supervise every thought passing through your mind.

What may be happening

The NHS's self-help guidance on reframing thoughts describes noticing an unhelpful thought, examining it and considering a more balanced perspective. A balanced perspective is not necessarily cheerful. It can acknowledge that a situation is difficult while questioning a conclusion that goes beyond the available evidence. [6]

For an everyday habit project, separate three kinds of statement. A fact describes what you know: "The figure has not been confirmed." A prediction describes what might happen: "They will think I am incompetent if I ask." A rule describes a demand you are applying: "I should never need clarification." They require different questions.

A fact may call for action or support. A prediction may call for checking what evidence exists and what alternatives are possible. A rule may call for examining its usefulness, fairness and exceptions. None requires pretending that the original feeling is absent.

Sometimes the thought is accurate enough. If a workplace punishes questions, your concern about asking may be grounded in experience. The next step may involve a safer communication route, documentation or advice, not repeatedly telling yourself that nobody will mind.

You are looking for a statement that supports a proportionate action under real conditions. "Asking could feel awkward, and an accurate report still requires the figure" is more useful than either "Everyone will reject me" or "Everything will be fine." It leaves uncertainty intact while clarifying what matters.

Familiar rules worth examining

Several ordinary rules can make a detour seem inevitable. “A small task is not worth starting.” “Once I have checked, the block is ruined.” “I should finish this chore before leaving, however late it makes me.” “A good draft should not contain uncertainty.” Treat these as examples, not a checklist for diagnosing your thinking.

Ask what happens when the rule is followed literally. If a block is ruined by one feed check, the rule discourages returning. If every chore must be finished before departure, the departure time has no practical authority. If a draft must contain no uncertainty, you may be pushed towards guessing or waiting indefinitely.

A revised rule should be specific enough to use. “I can return at the next suitable point” is different from “I must recover all lost time immediately.” “An optional chore can wait for the agreed task period” is different from “Household work does not matter.” The revision preserves the value while changing the demand.

You may also notice convenient predictions: “This will take only a second,” or “One more page will make the decision obvious.” Rather than debating them abstractly, compare them with a small set of actual experiences. You do not need exact timing to notice that a supposedly brief detour often outlasts the available transition.

The aim is not to eliminate thinking errors as a category. It is to identify one interpretation that is influencing your chosen sequence and give yourself a more accurate instruction at that point.

Pause and reflect

Take one thought from your episode record. Ask: “Which part is something I know, and which part is a prediction, assumption or rule?” Underline only the uncertain part. Avoid replacing the whole sentence when some of it is true.

Next ask: “What information would make this interpretation more or less convincing?” The answer might be a clear deadline, an actual response from a colleague, or your experience of returning after a detour. Some questions cannot be settled immediately. Write “unknown” rather than filling the gap with reassurance.

Then ask: “What statement would help me act responsibly without demanding certainty?” You might write, “I do not know how they will respond, but I can ask a specific question.” Or, “I have already taken the detour; returning now is still a separate choice.”

Keep the revised statement in your own language. An elegant phrase that you would never use may be harder to remember than a plain instruction. Lena chooses “Mark what is missing; write what is known.” Arun chooses “Departure is a task too.” Jo chooses “A rough mark is allowed to be rough.”

These statements are not spells. They do not guarantee that the next action will change. Their value is whether they clarify a choice in the setting where you need one. A sentence that becomes another demand to feel better can be shortened, replaced or omitted.

A different response

Lena uses a thought-and-action worksheet for one uncertain sentence. She does not complete it for every thought during the day.

Question	Working answer
What am I telling myself?	"I should know the figure already; asking will make me look incapable."
What do I know?	The figure is unconfirmed. Accuracy matters. I cannot verify it alone.
What remains uncertain?	How the colleague will respond and when the reply will arrive.
What is a more useful statement?	"Clarifying missing information is part of completing this report."
What action follows?	Mark the gap, continue the known section and send a specific question.

The worksheet does not prove that the colleague is supportive or that Lena's concern has no history. It helps her distinguish a work requirement from a prediction about judgment. If the colleague responds dismissively, she can review the communication route and seek support rather than deciding that the worksheet failed to make reality pleasant.

Try the same process with one thought relevant to your project. Stop after identifying a proportionate next action. The purpose is not to reach complete confidence, establish that the thought is impossible or collect enough reassurance to remove every doubt.

For some readers, writing a short question will be more useful than completing a table: "What else could be true here, and what would I do differently if it were?"

Test a practical prediction, not your worth

A small, low-risk test can sometimes be more informative than repeated internal debate. Jo predicts that a rough private sketch made before more browsing will be unusable. She can make one small sketch and examine whether it helps identify a direction. The test concerns the usefulness of that sketch, not whether she is a talented person.

Keep the test proportionate. Do not test whether someone will tolerate unsafe behaviour, ignore important instructions or stop medication. Do not use this book to design self-directed exposure for distressing compulsions or trauma-related difficulties. The project remains an everyday practical trial.

Before the attempt, state what you expect and what you will observe. “I expect a rough mark to give me nothing useful. I will check whether it helps me identify one design question.” After the attempt, describe what happened without expanding the conclusion beyond the observation.

Jo's sketch is not ready for the client, but it reveals that the page needs a stronger focal point. That is useful for this draft. It does not prove that browsing is unnecessary in every commission or that she should always work through uncertainty without asking questions.

A disappointing result can also be informative. The brief may genuinely be too vague. The task may be too large. The method may not fit your access needs. Revise the plan rather than turning the experiment into a referendum on your ability to change.

Try one small change

Add one revised statement to your working plan, beside the cue where it will be useful. Connect it to an action rather than leaving it as a general aspiration. “I can be imperfect” becomes more practical when paired with “make one private rough mark before deciding whether more research is needed.”

Check that the statement does not deny a real constraint. “I can always find time” may be inaccurate in a care emergency. “I can use the next suitable opportunity, and ask for help when there is no reasonable opportunity” respects the difference.

Read the statement once at the relevant moment, or keep it as part of your later review. You do not need to repeat it until you feel convinced. If the words irritate you or make you more self-conscious, use a simpler external cue. A marked place in a document can sometimes do more than a lengthy internal conversation.

Arun places a note with his bag: “Prepare to leave; park optional tasks.” This addresses the interpretation that an unfinished chore must be completed immediately. It also gives him somewhere to put the thought without acting on it at once.

Your plan now includes one interpretation to examine and one more accurate instruction. The next chapter turns that instruction into a response you can actually carry out, including a fallback when conditions are different. Insight is useful when it changes the available choice, not when it becomes another project to perfect.

Return to this later

Ask whether the revised statement changed the decision in any noticeable way. Perhaps you recognised the prediction sooner. Perhaps you asked a clearer question. Perhaps the phrase did nothing, but a change in the task instructions helped. All are legitimate observations.

Be especially alert to a new rule disguised as flexibility. “I must always challenge my thoughts before acting” can become as burdensome as the rule it replaces. You do not need a worksheet to answer a message, take a break or begin an ordinary task. Use reflection where it adds clarity.

Lena finds that “Mark what is missing; write what is known” helps when the uncertainty is local. It does not help when the whole report purpose has changed. In that setting, the appropriate response is clarification of the assignment. The limits of the phrase improve her plan because they prevent overuse.

Jo decides not to keep a daily thought record. She saves the single worked example and returns to it only when the familiar readiness rule appears. This reduces the administrative burden without abandoning the insight.

Your aim is not a mind containing only helpful thoughts. It is a more workable relationship between interpretations, conditions and actions. Some familiar sentences may continue to appear. You can notice them, use what is useful and choose a practical next step without establishing that you have finally corrected the way you think.

6. Choose an Alternative You Can Actually Use

A moment you recognise

Lena's first alternative is ambitious: close the feed, take a walk, review the whole report, organise her notes and return refreshed. It sounds sensible on a quiet evening. During a short drafting window, it is too complicated to begin.

She reduces it. When she reaches an uncertain sentence, she will mark the missing information and write the next known line, or send a specific question if nothing useful can proceed. If she genuinely needs a break, she will choose one deliberately rather than letting the feed decide its shape.

The revised response is small, but it is not meaningless. It addresses the exact point where the draft usually stops. It also keeps the real work requirement visible: uncertainty must eventually be resolved, not merely hidden behind a placeholder.

An alternative does not need to look like the opposite of the old behaviour. The opposite of opening a feed is not necessarily uninterrupted work. It might be asking a question, preparing a departure, making a rough mark or taking an appropriate pause.

This chapter asks whether your alternative can be used by the person you actually are, in the setting you actually occupy, with the resources you can realistically access. A plan that works only on an unusually calm day needs another version.

What may be happening

Implementation intentions link a recognisable situation with an intended response, often expressed as an “if, then” plan. The approach is intended to connect a cue with action more clearly than a broad wish alone. It is not a guarantee, and it cannot provide an opportunity, skill or resource that is absent. [7]

For this book, use the form lightly: “When I notice ____ in this setting, I will first ____.” The word “first” can help because it describes an immediate choice rather than a lifetime promise. You are testing a response at one point in the sequence.

A suitable cue should be recognisable without constant inspection. “When I reach a sentence with missing information” is more specific than “whenever I am unproductive.” “When the departure reminder appears” is more usable than “before I become late.” A cue that arrives after the relevant decision may need to move earlier.

The response should be available, safe and small enough to start. “Ask a question” may still be too vague. “Send the agreed contact a message naming the missing figure and when it is needed” is clearer. It may also be too much for a particular moment, in which case a marked note for the next appropriate contact point can serve as an interim step.

Do not mistake a neat sentence for an implemented plan. Check where the materials are, whether permission is needed and what you will do when the intended response is unavailable.

Three tests for an alternative

The first test is fit: does the action address the situation or immediate function you identified? A breathing exercise may not resolve a missing instruction. A new calendar may not settle an unfair task division. Choose an alternative for the problem at hand, not because it belongs to a popular list of good habits.

The second test is feasibility: can you do it in the available setting? A quiet room may not exist. A walk may not be accessible or compatible with supervision duties. A written note may need an audio alternative. Feasibility includes physical access, time, permission and cognitive effort.

The third test is consequence: what does the action improve, and what could it cost? Removing every notification might reduce diversion while blocking a necessary care message. Preparing departure earlier may help, but not if it means rushing an essential handover. A good alternative protects the needs you wrote into the first chapter.

You can use these tests without scoring each response. Compare two or three options in ordinary language. “This is easy to do but does not address the uncertainty.” “This would help, but it depends on an agreement I do not yet have.” “This is available now and leaves the remaining question visible.”

Select the smallest response that has a plausible purpose. More elaborate is not automatically more effective, and a response does not become appropriate merely because you are willing to work hard at it.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What could I do at the decision point before the familiar detour begins?” Think in terms of an action that can be started, not a feeling you must produce. You might mark a gap, put an optional task on a later list or make one rough draft element.

Next ask: “What could make this alternative impossible or unhelpful?” This is not pessimism. It reveals whether the plan depends on a quiet space, a response from someone else or a capacity that varies. Write one fallback rather than a complicated tree of contingencies.

Finally ask: “What is the legitimate stopping option?” Sometimes there is no useful work to continue until information arrives. Sometimes rest or an urgent responsibility takes priority. A plan that allows only the new action or failure leaves out ordinary life.

Lena's fallback is to state the missing input and identify the next agreed contact point. Jo's fallback is a short clarification question or an appropriate pause when pain makes the task unsuitable. Arun's fallback is a safe, honest update about departure if the essential handover is delayed. None involves punishing themselves for conditions they cannot change at that moment.

Write the alternative in words you could use while busy. If explaining it requires several paragraphs, keep the explanation in your notes and make a shorter reference version. The action should remain understandable when the enthusiasm of planning has faded.

A different response

Lena drafts a small response plan and tests whether each line has a practical meaning.

Situation	First response
A local uncertainty in an otherwise workable draft	Mark the gap and write the next known line.
Missing information prevents useful continuation	Send or prepare a specific clarification request through the agreed route.
A genuine need for a pause	Choose an appropriate break and leave a clear return point.
An urgent duty replaces the drafting window	Attend to the duty; do not count it as a failed drafting attempt.

The table is not meant to be consulted every minute. It helps Lena establish the distinction once, so the reference cue can remain brief: “Gap, question or pause?” She can then choose without treating every situation as identical.

Her first trial is imperfect. She marks the gap, but spends too long polishing the clarification request. That becomes useful feedback: the request needs a plain format, not a performance of competence. She shortens it to the missing item, the deadline and what she can complete meanwhile.

The alternative has now been tested against a real difficulty. It is less impressive than the original multi-step routine and more usable. Your first response may need similar revision. A plan that improves after an awkward attempt is functioning as a learning tool, not failing to be complete.

Rehearse the setting without manufacturing temptation

A brief rehearsal can check whether the response is understandable. Open the relevant document, locate the place for a note, or put the departure cue where it can be seen. You do not need to provoke a strong urge or deliberately create a difficult emotional state.

Arun rehearses the departure sequence at an ordinary time. His bag is ready, but the optional-task note is in a kitchen drawer. He moves it to an accessible place near the bag. The rehearsal reveals a practical mismatch rather than a lack of commitment.

Jo opens a blank draft and tries making a rough shape without selecting a full set of references first. She discovers that the software starts with an inconvenient template. Changing the default is more useful than repeating a motivational phrase. She still uses references when the commission requires them.

Keep rehearsals brief and low risk. Do not introduce deprivation, substances, distressing material or unsafe situations to test your resistance. Do not recruit another person to tempt or embarrass you. An ordinary work sequence is sufficient.

If the alternative remains difficult, ask what kind of difficulty it is. A missing skill may require instruction. An inaccessible tool may require a different format. Unclear authority may require agreement. Discomfort about being a beginner may call for a smaller private attempt. “Try harder” does not distinguish among these problems, whereas a small rehearsal often makes the next practical question clearer.

Try one small change

Put one cue and one first response on your working plan. Add a fallback and a stopping condition. Keep the complete version nearby, but create a short cue that is not visible to people who do not need your private information.

Choose an ordinary opportunity rather than an especially demanding test. Tell yourself what you are observing: whether you recognise the cue, whether the response is available and what happens after using it. You are not measuring your worth, proving that the habit has disappeared or establishing a permanent success rate.

After the attempt, record only the detail that helps the next decision. “The note was hidden.” “I needed the colleague’s name.” “The alternative helped me resume, but the missing figure still blocked completion.” These observations are more useful than “good” or “bad.”

Do not compensate for an awkward attempt with a more severe plan. Lena does not block her phone because the first clarification request took too long. She changes the request format. Arun does not set an alarm an hour earlier because his task note was misplaced. He improves the note’s location.

The next chapter focuses on these environmental details. For now, the important addition is that your plan contains an available action rather than only an instruction not to perform the old one. An alternative earns its place by helping with the situation, not by sounding like a more disciplined person’s routine.

Return to this later

Ask whether the first response is still the right size. It may be too broad, too narrow or attached to the wrong cue. You can change one element while keeping the rest stable enough to understand what you are trying.

Also ask whether the response has become another detour. A detailed planning worksheet can replace browsing without helping you start. A long preparation ritual can delay departure as effectively as a chore. A supposedly brief reflection can expand into repeated checking of whether you are ready.

When that happens, return to function. What was the alternative meant to make possible? If the answer is drafting the known section, the note should support that action rather than become a new assignment. If the answer is leaving on time, preparation should end in departure rather than more preparation.

Lena shortens her reference cue after a few attempts. She keeps the detailed explanation in her private plan, but the working document needs only a clear marker for missing information and a place to continue. Less visible machinery makes the response easier to use.

Your plan now contains a cue, an alternative, a fallback and a stopping condition. Part III will examine how the setting, stress, other people and reminders affect those choices. You do not need to master the response before continuing. The next chapters are designed to help make it more realistic, not to add further tests you must pass.

Part III: Make Change Work in Everyday Life

7. Adjust Your Environment and Reduce Tempting Shortcuts

A moment you recognise

Lena's cue is clear, but the feed shortcut sits beside the work application she opens throughout the day. Each time she reaches for a necessary message, the unrelated option is immediately available. She has designed a response without examining the route to it.

She considers putting the phone in a locked drawer. That would also block calls about care and make authentication awkward. Instead, she moves the feed shortcut away from the first screen, leaves necessary functions accessible and places a short drafting cue in the document itself.

The change is small. It does not make the feed impossible to open, and it does not establish that she will choose differently. It gives the intended response a more convenient place in the sequence while making the unrelated detour slightly less immediate.

An environment includes more than objects. It includes software defaults, shared expectations, access arrangements, task instructions and who has authority to interrupt. Sometimes the most useful change is a clearer agreement rather than a tidier desk.

This chapter asks you to inspect the path of least effort in your chosen setting. Where does it currently lead? What could make a more deliberate response easier without removing something you need or transferring an unreasonable burden to someone else?

What may be happening

Habit research gives context an important role. Familiar settings can make familiar responses readily available even when intentions have changed. Wood's review of habits and behaviour change discusses environmental cues and friction as practical considerations alongside deliberate goals. This does not mean people are helpless products of their surroundings. It means intention is not the only influence worth designing for. [3]

An earlier study of students moving to a new university examined how changes in circumstances related to continuity and disruption in everyday habits. The findings illustrate why a change in context can matter; they do not imply that everyone must move home or reorganise their entire life to change a behaviour. [8]

For your project, begin with the smallest relevant feature. Which application appears first? Where is the departure cue? How many steps are required to open the working file? Is the task instruction in a place you can find without searching through unrelated material?

Changing friction means changing how easy or difficult an action is to begin. Use it proportionately. Adding one step to a personal feed may be reasonable. Making essential messages inaccessible is not. Removing a barrier to drafting can help, but reducing verification steps needed for safety or accuracy would create a different problem.

The environment review should therefore examine both directions: what makes the unwanted detour easy, and what makes the useful response unnecessarily hard? Improving the second may be more valuable than continually obstructing the first.

Design for access, not a fantasy workspace

Many people share rooms, work on borrowed equipment or move between sites. Some need background sound, screen readers, dictation, visible reminders or frequent changes of position. An environment that looks minimal is not automatically more usable.

Jo's desk contains reference cards and accessibility shortcuts. Removing them to create a supposedly distraction-free surface would make work harder. She instead separates the materials for the current rough draft from the open-ended gallery she tends to browse. The distinction is based on function, not appearance.

Arun does not have a private departure area. His bag shares space with household items. He chooses a small, consistent location for essentials and agrees with his brother which items should not be moved without telling him. This is a negotiated arrangement in a shared home, not an order that others must organise the space around his project.

When equipment or settings belong to an employer, follow the relevant permissions and security requirements. Do not disable necessary alerts, bypass controls or install unapproved software as a habit experiment. Ask for an appropriate alternative where the existing process creates unnecessary friction.

You may not be able to change the setting immediately. In that case, identify a portable support: a plain instruction, a saved draft template or a small note that does not expose private information. A limited change is still worth considering, provided you do not pretend that it resolves barriers outside your control.

Pause and reflect

Walk through one ordinary opportunity in your mind or in the actual setting. Ask: “What is easiest to open, reach or begin?” Notice defaults rather than blaming yourself for using them. A familiar shortcut can be influential without being malicious or irresistible.

Next ask: “What makes my intended response harder than necessary?” Perhaps you must locate a document, remember a contact, clear a surface or decide where to record a missing item. An alternative that requires several preliminary decisions may be poorly positioned for a busy moment.

Finally ask: “Who or what could be affected by the change?” Removing notifications could affect care coordination. Moving shared objects could confuse someone else. Changing a work process could require agreement. Include these effects before making the adjustment.

Lena identifies two small changes she can make herself: move the personal-feed shortcut and add a marked place for unresolved information in the draft. She also identifies a change that requires agreement: a clearer route for obtaining figures. She does not combine all three into a personal promise and then blame herself if the third does not happen.

Choose one environmental change first, unless a practical reason requires a coordinated change. You do not need to isolate every variable scientifically, but changing fewer things can make your observations easier to interpret. The question is whether the revised setting serves you, not whether you have created a perfect experiment.

A different response

Lena's environment review separates actions she can take from requests that need another person's participation.

Feature	Proposed change	What must be protected
Personal feed on the first screen	Move the shortcut away from the immediate work route	Necessary calls, messages and authentication remain available.
No place to hold uncertainty	Add a clear marker in the draft	Unconfirmed information must not be presented as verified.
Unclear figure-confirmation route	Ask the team to identify the responsible contact and expected timing	The colleague's workload and actual authority must be considered.

She trials the first two changes during an ordinary drafting opportunity. The phone is still reachable. The feed remains available by a more deliberate route. The draft now gives uncertainty somewhere to sit without requiring the whole task to stop.

The third change is not yet implemented. Her manager agrees to discuss it, but that is not the same as having a reliable confirmation process. Lena records it as pending rather than quietly assuming that support has arrived.

This distinction matters in any shared setting. "I asked," "someone agreed in principle" and "the arrangement worked when needed" are different stages. A fair review should not treat a promised resource as if you had already been able to use it.

Avoid turning the environment into a punishment system

Some environmental changes work by making an action harder. That does not mean greater difficulty is always better. A blocker that creates panic about missed calls, a penalty that threatens essential spending or a public display of lapses can introduce costs far beyond the ordinary behaviour you wanted to change.

Prefer changes that are reversible, understandable and under appropriate control. You should know how to restore necessary access. Another person should not hold your password, money or device as a condition of helping you unless there is a separate, carefully considered arrangement suited to the actual circumstances. This book does not recommend coercive control disguised as support.

A useful question is: “Would this design still seem reasonable if I had a difficult week?” A neutral cue and a clearer draft template may remain helpful. A severe penalty may make you conceal what happened rather than review it honestly.

Also avoid making leisure itself the enemy. Lena is not trying to remove enjoyment from the phone. She is trying to distinguish a chosen personal break from an automatic detour during a particular task. The same application can have a legitimate place elsewhere in her day.

The purpose of changing the environment is to make a valued response more available. It is not to prove seriousness by making life unpleasant. If the adjustment creates more distress, dependence or practical difficulty than it resolves, revise or remove it.

Try one small change

Make one proportionate adjustment to the route surrounding your target behaviour. Write what you changed, why it might help and how you will know if it interferes with a protected need. Keep an easy way to undo it.

Then test the useful route. Can you find the file, cue or note without extra searching? Does the alternative still work with your accessibility tools? Does a necessary message arrive? A plan should be checked for function, not judged from how neat it looks.

Arun tests whether he can find the departure note and essential items when the shared room is busy. Jo checks that her draft template opens with the tools she needs. Lena confirms that moving the feed shortcut has not changed access to care calls. None of these tests requires resisting a deliberately intensified temptation.

Where the change requires agreement, make the request specific. “Please help me be organised” is vague. “Can we keep the departure essentials in this agreed place and tell each other if something must be moved?” gives the other person a proposal they can accept, modify or decline.

Add the environmental adjustment to your working plan. Mark any resource still pending. The next chapter considers what happens when stress, boredom and social expectations change the situation. Your environment should support an ordinary human response under those conditions, not only function when you are already calm and enthusiastic.

Return to this later

Ask whether the adjustment changed the sequence in a useful way. It may have reduced accidental opening of the feed, made the alternative easier to find or revealed that a different barrier matters more. A change can be useful without solving the whole pattern.

Check for unintended consequences. Did you miss important contact? Did a shared-space arrangement create conflict? Did the new template become too complicated? These are reasons to revise the design, not evidence that you are insufficiently committed.

Lena notices that the shortcut change helps only when she begins from the work screen. When the feed is already open from a legitimate break, the route is different. She adds a simple return action after a chosen break: close the personal activity and reopen the draft. She does not need to prohibit the break itself.

Remember that an environmental adjustment may stop being relevant when the setting changes. Travel, a new device, a different shift or a changed task can create a new route. Review the fit rather than assuming that an earlier arrangement should work everywhere without attention.

Your plan now includes one environmental support and a record of what remains outside your control. The next step is to prepare for ordinary variation in energy, emotion and social demands. The goal is not an environment that removes every temptation. It is a setting that makes a proportionate choice easier to recognise and carry out.

8. Prepare for Stress, Boredom and Social Pressure

A moment you recognise

The drafting window appears on Lena's calendar, but the morning has changed. A care call ran late, the team handover was rushed and two requests now compete for priority. She reaches the uncertain sentence already trying to keep several unfinished matters in mind.

Her usual cue is still visible. That does not mean the situation is equivalent to a calm drafting block. She needs to decide whether the opportunity genuinely exists, what can reasonably be done and whether a request for clarification or reprioritisation is more important than practising the alternative.

Arun has a different difficulty. Friends tease him for leaving a conversation to prepare for his shift. Jo faces the boredom of revising the same layout again. Each situation can draw attention towards the familiar response, but the practical needs differ.

Preparing for variation does not mean forecasting every difficult feeling. It means deciding what the plan will protect when conditions are less favourable. You can acknowledge stress, boredom or social discomfort without making them a character flaw or expecting one technique to address all three.

This chapter adds a modest "difficult conditions" version of your plan. It should reduce demands where necessary and make support more explicit, not turn a hard day into a more demanding test of determination.

What may be happening

A behaviour plan exists inside a workload, a household and a wider set of resources. Some pressures require changes to those conditions. WHO's guidance on mental health at work identifies organisational interventions addressing workplace risks, not only individual coping skills. A personal habit project should not be used to excuse excessive demands, inadequate support or unsafe work. [12]

Within the part you can influence, distinguish three situations. You may have a suitable opportunity with uncomfortable feelings. You may have a reduced opportunity requiring a smaller version. Or the opportunity may have been replaced by an urgent duty, a health need or a changed assignment.

These distinctions are not always obvious. You can make a provisional decision and review it later. The point is to avoid automatically counting every difficult day as either a failure or an exemption. Describe what changed and what action was appropriate.

Lena can still mark a local uncertainty when she feels tense. But if her manager has replaced the report block with urgent response work, there is no comparable drafting attempt to score. The record should say that the opportunity changed.

Stress may make your original explanation less complete. A feed detour that usually follows uncertainty may follow sheer overload on another day. Your plan can respond to the practical situation without requiring you to determine precisely which feeling caused the action. Keep the response useful and the interpretation tentative.

Boredom is information, not an order

A repetitive task can feel dull even when it matters. You do not have to find every part of life interesting before doing it. Equally, boredom does not automatically mean that you should push through without considering task design, breaks or whether the activity still serves a purpose.

Jo's revisions are necessary, but the brief has expanded. She separates a reasonable period of detailed work from an open-ended expectation of unlimited changes. Some of her browsing is a detour she can examine. Some of her reluctance is information about a scope agreement that needs revisiting.

For an ordinary dull task, make the next unit clear. "Check the labels on this one page" may be more workable than "finish all the boring administration." A chosen pause can then have a place, rather than emerging as an unplanned search for novelty. This is an editorial planning option, not a claim that boredom disappears when tasks are divided.

Be cautious about replacing every dull moment with another stream of stimulation. You might prefer music, company or a change of format, but check whether it supports the task and respects safety and access requirements. What helps one person may distract or exclude another.

The key question is: "What would make this necessary piece of work more manageable, and what would simply postpone it?" Sometimes the answer is a smaller unit. Sometimes it is a clearer limit on the work itself.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “Which ordinary difficult condition is most likely to affect my chosen setting?” Choose one, not an inventory of everything that could go wrong. It might be a rushed transition, a repetitive task or another person's expectation that you remain immediately available.

Next ask: “What would a reduced but still useful response look like?”

Lena may only mark the gap and identify the next person to contact. Jo may prepare the file for a later suitable session rather than make the draft while pain is rising. Reduced action should have a purpose, not exist merely to preserve a streak.

Finally ask: “When would the appropriate response be support, rest, reprioritisation or no attempt?” Name a condition you can recognise. Do not make the stopping point so remote that the plan requires you to ignore ordinary needs until they become severe.

Arun writes that an essential household handover takes priority over an optional chore and over pretending that departure is on schedule. If the handover is delayed, he will provide an honest update through a safe route rather than rush or conceal the delay.

Reflection here should make the plan more humane and more accurate. If your reduced version contains almost as many steps as the original, it is not really reduced. Remove the parts that do not help you make the next practical decision under pressure.

A different response

Lena asks her manager to clarify competing demands without making the conversation about her personal feed use.

“I have the report block and the urgent response queue at the same time. Which takes priority, and what should move?”

“Both are important. Do what you can.”

“I can cover the queue now, or draft the report with a short period free from response duty. I cannot guarantee both in the same block. Could we agree the order and who holds the queue during drafting?”

The manager agrees that the queue takes priority and moves the report. This is not the result Lena hoped for, but it is clearer. She records that the planned drafting opportunity did not occur. The remaining workload problem is still real and may need further discussion.

Arun uses a different script with friends: “I am going to prepare to leave now. I would like to continue this another time, but I am not starting another activity before my shift.” He does not have to persuade everyone that the boundary is admirable.

A script can clarify a request. It cannot guarantee agreement or remove unequal power. Use only a form that is appropriate and reasonably safe in your circumstances. Where a request is repeatedly dismissed or carries risk, consider a suitable support route rather than endlessly refining your words as though phrasing were the only obstacle.

Social support is not social permission

Other people may influence the setting without being responsible for your every choice. A friend can offer company, a colleague can clarify a task and a family member can agree a handover. None needs to become a permanent guard against your chosen behaviour.

Make the requested support concrete. “Could we agree that I will answer non-urgent messages after the drafting block?” is clearer than “Please make sure I stay focused.” The first describes a shared arrangement. The second assigns a vague supervisory role that may become intrusive.

You may encounter mild disappointment when changing an established pattern. Someone accustomed to immediate replies may need to know the new arrangement. A friend may prefer that you stay longer. A respectful change can include explanation without asking for unlimited permission to meet an ordinary responsibility.

However, do not interpret all disagreement as unhealthy pressure. Other people have needs and commitments too. If a change shifts work onto them, the arrangement requires negotiation. Arun's brother can reasonably ask how chores will be shared after Arun stops doing them at departure time.

The aim is mutual clarity, not a system in which your goal automatically outranks everyone else's concerns. A workable agreement names the relevant action, each person's role and a way to revisit the arrangement. It leaves room for a declined request and for finding a different form of support.

Try one small change

Add one difficult-condition version to your plan. Use a sentence such as: “When the usual opportunity is reduced by ___, I will ___; if ___ takes priority, I will stop or seek the appropriate support.” Keep the wording plain and limited to the actual project.

Choose one request that would make the setting more workable. It may concern a handover, a response window, a clearer brief or an agreed pause. Write what you are asking, who can decide and what you will do if the answer is no or remains unclear.

Do not treat the request as implemented until it has been agreed and used. Lena has a clearer priority for one day, not a permanent protected drafting schedule. Arun has proposed a chore arrangement, not secured it simply by writing it in his plan.

After a difficult opportunity, record what changed in the conditions before judging the response. Was the alternative available? Did the task still make sense? Was an urgent need being addressed? These questions distinguish flexibility from retrospective excuses because they require an honest account rather than a preferred result.

Your plan now includes a response to ordinary variation and a specific support request. The next chapter will help you decide how much reminding and recording is actually useful. A plan that needs constant inspection can become another source of pressure, so the support system must remain proportionate to the behaviour you are changing.

Return to this later

Ask whether the difficult-condition version reduced unnecessary demands or simply renamed them. A “minimum” response that still requires concentration, uninterrupted time and several decisions may not fit a genuinely reduced opportunity.

Check whether you are using stress as a global explanation. “It was a stressful week” may be true, but one practical detail can still guide a change: the rota arrived late, a care handover failed, or a client added an unagreed revision. Naming that detail helps identify who can act.

Also check whether the plan is asking you to absorb a recurring organisational problem privately. If drafting time is repeatedly removed, Lena's next review needs to include workload and authority. A more determined response to the feed cannot create the missing hours.

Jo decides that the revised brief requires a new scope conversation. She continues her small first-mark experiment only on work that is sufficiently defined. Arun finds that a departure cue helps with optional chores but does not solve the unreliable bus route. Both retain the useful part without exaggerating it.

Preparation for difficult days is not a promise that familiar behaviours will disappear under pressure. It is a way to keep choices, care and accountability available when the day differs from the plan. Your next step is to build reminders and support that help you return to that purpose without turning your life into a continuous record of compliance.

9. Use Reminders and Support Without Constant Self-Monitoring

A moment you recognise

Lena opens a habit-tracking application and finds herself deciding colours, categories and daily targets. She has not yet used the response consistently, but she is already maintaining a second system about it. A missed entry feels like another thing she has done wrong.

She returns to a simpler question: “What information do I need in order to make the next decision?” For this project, she needs to know whether a suitable drafting opportunity occurred, which response she used first and whether the arrangement helped or created a problem. She does not need a minute-by-minute account of her whole day.

Mina offers to ask about the project. Lena appreciates the interest but does not want reminders throughout the day or screenshots as evidence. They agree to one short conversation at a chosen point, with permission to cancel or stop.

A reminder can help you find the intended response. A record can help you see an episode more accurately. Support can make a practical action easier. None needs to become continuous supervision.

This chapter adds the lightest useful system to your plan. The system should be easier to maintain than the problem it is meant to help you understand, and it should remain yours to revise.

What may be happening

A meta-analysis by Harkin and colleagues found that interventions encouraging progress monitoring improved goal attainment on average across the studies reviewed. The evidence supports monitoring as a potentially useful strategy; it does not establish that every person should record every action or make their information public. [9]

For an individual project, the relevant question is not simply whether recording can help. It is which record is useful, for whom, under what conditions and at what cost. A brief note may clarify the next adjustment. A detailed dashboard may demand more attention than the behaviour itself.

Separate a reminder from a record. A reminder appears before or during the relevant decision. A record is made afterwards to support reflection. One can be useful without the other. Arun may need a departure cue but no daily score. Jo may prefer a brief review of a draft without an alert appearing while she works.

Also separate self-directed support from external monitoring. A friend who helps you think is not automatically entitled to your data. A shared workplace outcome does not require disclosure of every private strategy you use to achieve it.

Choose a small amount of information tied to a decision. “Was the drafting window available?” helps interpret the attempt. “How worthy did I feel?” may add little to this particular project and could encourage a judgment you are trying to leave behind. Record what serves the question, not everything that is easy to count.

Count opportunities honestly

A raw count can mislead when the number of opportunities changes. Two feed detours in a week containing many suitable drafting blocks are different from two detours in a week containing only two. Neither count explains what happened without context.

You do not need percentages to make a useful review. A small table of opportunities, responses and conditions may be enough. If numbers help, define the denominator before interpreting it. For Lena, an eligible opportunity means a planned non-urgent drafting window with no immediate response duty, not every day she goes to work.

Do not classify ordinary interruptions as failures merely to keep the categories simple. An urgent care call can replace the opportunity. A deliberate break can be the appropriate response. An unrelated feed opened after a chosen break may belong outside the target setting. Write the distinction plainly.

At the same time, avoid changing definitions after each result to make the plan appear successful. If the original action occurred in the intended setting, record it without a character verdict. You can learn from the event without relabelling it.

The purpose of a count is to clarify a decision, not to establish a personal ranking. A small sample cannot show that the old habit has been erased, that a method caused all improvement or that the same result will occur elsewhere. Descriptive numbers can still be useful when their limits remain visible.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What is the smallest record that would help me decide what to keep or change?” You might need only a note about the opportunity, the first response and one practical consequence. A longer account belongs only where it adds value.

Next ask: “When can I make this note without interrupting the activity or repeatedly checking myself?” A chosen review point may be more suitable than recording in real time. You can write from memory and label uncertainty rather than trying to capture every moment perfectly.

Finally ask: “What information do I want to remain private?” Consider names, health details, work material and shared household information. Use an approved work system where necessary, and avoid putting confidential material into an unapproved application or an AI service. A habit record does not need to contain the content of a care message or a client document.

Lena chooses a simple private record at the end of selected drafting opportunities. Mina will hear only the summary Lena chooses to share. Arun uses a departure note without logging his brother's activities. Jo keeps a brief comment in her project file rather than maintaining a separate habit score.

You can stop recording while keeping the useful cue. You can also stop the cue while retaining a later review. The components are tools, not a package that must be accepted as a whole.

A different response

Lena reviews a fictional sample of eight planned drafting windows across two weeks. Two were replaced by urgent care contact or reprioritised work. She treats those as changed opportunities, not attempts that failed.

What the sample contains	What Lena can say
Eight windows planned	The calendar contained eight proposed opportunities.
Two windows replaced	Six were eligible under her existing definition.
Alternative used first in four eligible windows	The response was usable on those occasions.
Personal feed opened first in two eligible windows	The old detour still occurred; she returned afterwards.

The table is not a success-rate target for the reader. It shows how to separate an opportunity from a response. Lena has only three brief observations from before the trial, so she does not claim a reliable before-and-after effect. Several conditions changed together, including the draft template and the route for asking questions.

Her useful conclusion is smaller: “The alternative was available in several suitable windows, and I can return after a detour. I still need clearer information and a simpler record.” That conclusion supports a next decision without pretending to be a controlled study.

For your own review, use words rather than numbers when that is clearer. An honest description of one important obstacle may be more informative than a carefully calculated percentage from an uncertain sample.

Agree the kind of support you want

Mina asks Lena, “Would you like encouragement, help thinking through the plan, or a reminder?” Lena chooses help reviewing it. They agree that Mina will not ask for daily proof or comment on every visible phone use.

A practical support request might be: “Could we have a short conversation after I have tried this in a few suitable situations? I would like you to ask what helped and what remained difficult. I do not want monitoring or penalties. Either of us can say that the arrangement is no longer useful.”

A supporter can have limits. They may be unavailable, unsure what to say or unwilling to take on a continuing role. A declined request is information about that arrangement, not proof that you are undeserving of help. Look for a smaller request or a different source where appropriate.

Support should not require concealing a lapse to preserve the other person's approval. If the arrangement makes you feel that you must report only good results, revise it. A useful conversation can include “I did the old thing,” “the opportunity did not occur” and “I no longer want this target.”

Where a behaviour affects shared responsibilities, discuss those responsibilities directly. Your brother or colleague may need an update about timing even when they do not need your private habit record. Privacy and accountability can coexist when the information is matched to its purpose.

Try one small change

Choose one reminder, one review point and, only if useful, one supporter. Leave out any component that adds no clear value. Write the choices in your working plan alongside the action they are meant to support.

Place the reminder at the decision point. A departure cue that appears after you should already have left is poorly positioned. A drafting cue hidden in a separate notebook may not be available when you meet the uncertain sentence. Adjust location before adding more alerts.

Set a stopping condition for the record. Examples include repeated checking of the count, substantial distress, privacy concerns or a burden that exceeds the information gained. A stopped record is not a failed habit project. It may be the correct revision.

Ask a supporter for a specific, limited action rather than general vigilance. “Please tell me if you need the shared handover earlier” can improve coordination. “Please never let me be late” assigns an impossible role. “Could you review this one draft instruction?” may be more helpful than “Keep me accountable forever.”

After one review, remove a field or step that you did not use. Simplicity should be tested in practice, not merely praised. Your plan now has a proportionate support system. Part IV will consider what to do when the old response occurs again and how to judge whether the whole arrangement remains worth keeping.

Return to this later

Ask whether recording has changed what you notice. Does it make conditions clearer, or does it narrow your attention to a count while hiding important costs? A plan can look better on a dashboard while leaving you more tense or less available for legitimate responsibilities.

Lena realises that she is spending too long deciding how to classify minor variations. She reduces the record to three questions: “Was there a suitable opportunity? What did I do first? What matters for the next decision?” She keeps occasional examples rather than a continuous log.

Jo stops counting starts altogether because the count encourages her to choose easy sketches over useful work. She retains a short question at review: “Did the first mark help me decide what the project needs?” That question fits her purpose better.

Arun keeps the departure cue but ends a proposed daily check-in. The relevant information for his brother is whether their handover agreement is being met, not whether Arun can report a perfect streak.

Your support system should become lighter when it can, not expand automatically because you have been using it for a while. A reminder is successful when it helps the action, not when it keeps demanding your attention. Carry that principle into the next chapter, where returning after a lapse will matter more than preserving an unbroken record.

Part IV: Continue Beyond the First Attempt

10. Learn from a Lapse Without Declaring Failure

A moment you recognise

Lena is halfway through a report when she notices the feed open on her phone. She has already followed two unrelated posts. Her first response is familiar: “So much for the plan.” The sentence invites her to abandon the rest of the block because it is no longer perfect.

She has another option. She can close the unrelated activity, find the return point and decide what is useful now. Later, she can review the episode. Neither action requires pretending that the detour did not happen.

In this book, a lapse means an ordinary occurrence of the target behaviour after you intended to respond differently. The term is not being used as a treatment label, and the chapter is not a relapse-management protocol for substance use or another serious concern.

One event can have consequences worth addressing. It does not automatically establish that every previous observation was worthless or that the only possible future is repetition. The useful question is what the event tells you about the cue, the alternative and the conditions.

This chapter adds a return route to your working plan. You will identify what can be done now, what deserves repair and what, if anything, should change before the next suitable opportunity.

What may be happening

A lapse can become larger in your account than in the event itself. “I opened the feed during the drafting block” becomes “I cannot change,” and then “There is no point continuing today.” Each sentence adds an interpretation. You can examine the interpretation without minimising the original action.

Self-compassion need not mean abandoning responsibility. Breines and Chen’s experiments found that compassionate responses to mistakes could coexist with greater motivation to improve or make amends. Those studies do not prove that a particular self-compassion script will produce lasting habit change. They challenge the assumption that harshness is always necessary for effort. [10]

For your project, a proportionate response has three elements: describe the event accurately, address any immediate consequence and choose the next useful action. You can be disappointed while doing all three. You do not have to generate a warm feeling about yourself before returning.

Avoid the opposite error of declaring every lapse irrelevant. If the behaviour repeatedly harms an agreement or the method is making things worse, the plan needs revision or additional support. Kindness should improve the honesty of the review, not remove the review.

The aim is to keep one event from turning into a total identity judgment while still learning from it. “This happened, it had an effect, and I can examine the next choice” is a more workable account than either condemnation or dismissal.

Return before you reconstruct

You may not need to analyse the episode immediately. If you are in the middle of an ordinary task, a brief return action can be more useful than a long investigation. Mark where you are, close the unrelated activity if appropriate and choose the next manageable step.

Lena's return route is the same cue she has already practised: find the uncertain point, mark what is missing and continue with known material or ask for information. She does not impose extra work to make up for the detour. The available time and task requirements still matter.

Arun's return route is different. If he notices an optional chore has delayed departure, he stops adding tasks, completes essential preparation and gives an honest update where needed. He does not speed, skip safety checks or rush necessary care in an attempt to erase the delay.

Jo may close the gallery and make one rough mark, or decide that the session is no longer suitable. A return can include stopping appropriately. It is not defined only by completing more work.

Later, when useful, review the event. This separation prevents the analysis from becoming another detour. The first question is "What is appropriate now?" The later question is "What should I learn or change?" Both matter, but they do not have to be answered in the same moment or with the same level of detail.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What actually happened, without the word ‘always’ or a judgment about who I am?” Include the setting and the action. If the opportunity was different from the usual one, describe the difference without automatically treating it as an excuse.

Next ask: “What needs attention now?” A delayed update, an unfinished task or an inconvenience to someone else may require a practical response. Some episodes require no apology to anyone, only a return to the task. Do not turn a private detour into a public confession simply because you feel ashamed.

Finally ask: “Which one part of the plan might need revision?” The cue may have been absent. The alternative may have been too complex. The setting may have changed. You may also decide that the plan remains appropriate and needs another ordinary opportunity, not an immediate redesign.

Lena notices that her return marker was missing in a newly formatted document. That is a specific design issue. It would not justify the conclusion that she has no discipline. On another occasion the marker was present and she still chose the feed. She records that honestly and considers whether the alternative addressed the need for a break.

A lapse-learning note should end with a decision, including “no change for now.” If it simply repeats your disappointment, shorten it. The point is to improve the next choice rather than produce a more detailed account of why you feel bad.

A different response

Lena's first lapse-learning note concerns one of the two eligible windows in her small sample where the personal feed came first.

Question	Lena's answer
What happened?	I opened the feed at an uncertain sentence and returned later.
What conditions mattered?	The new document lacked my usual uncertainty marker. I also wanted a break.
What was the impact?	Less time remained for the known section. The figure was still unresolved.
What can I do now?	Mark the gap, draft what is feasible and communicate the remaining dependency.
What will I change?	Add the marker to the new template and make a deliberate pause available.

She does not claim that these conditions caused the detour with certainty. They are plausible, actionable observations. She also does not reset a streak to zero, because the project is not organised around a streak.

A second episode may lead to a different decision. If the marker is present and the response is usable, she may keep the plan while reviewing whether the task load is reasonable. The worksheet is not a machine that must produce a new technique after every event.

For your own record, leave room for “unknown” and “no revision needed yet.” Accurate uncertainty is more useful than a confident explanation selected merely because the form has an empty box.

Repair where there is something to repair

A lapse in a shared responsibility may call for an apology or a changed arrangement. Focus on the action and its effect, not on persuading the other person that you are still good. They may need practical reliability more than a detailed account of your internal struggle.

Arun says, “I started an optional task after I should have been preparing to leave, and the handover ran late. I am sorry. I have moved that task to the period we agreed. If the essential handover itself is delayed, I will tell you rather than try to catch up by rushing.”

The apology does not demand immediate forgiveness. His brother can still be frustrated or ask for a different arrangement. Repair involves follow-through and attention to shared needs, not merely the right wording.

Lena may need to tell a colleague which section remains incomplete and why. She does not necessarily need to disclose every personal-feed episode. The relevant information is the work status, the unresolved input and the next agreed action. Honest communication is not the same as unlimited personal disclosure.

Do not repair a harmless difference simply because someone disapproves of it. Nor should you use habit language to excuse repeated disregard of another person's boundaries. Return to the actual impact and the agreement involved. A fair response holds your dignity and the other person's legitimate needs together, without turning either into a weapon against the other.

Try one small change

Write a short return instruction on your working plan: “When I notice the old response, I will first ____.” Choose an ordinary action you can use without punishment or elaborate analysis. This may be reopening the draft, stopping an optional task or leaving a clear point for later.

Add a separate line for review: “At a suitable time, I will check the cue, the alternative, the conditions and any repair needed.” The later review can be brief. You do not need to complete a worksheet after every occurrence if doing so adds no useful information.

Choose what you will not do. You might rule out doubling the workload, withholding rest, making a public confession or deleting necessary tools. This protects the plan from becoming harsher in response to disappointment.

Try the return route in a low-risk rehearsal if it helps. Open the draft at a marked place and practise finding the next line. Put down an optional task and locate the departure essentials. Do not deliberately create a lapse, distress or temptation to test yourself.

The return route is part of the plan from now on, not an emergency addition after the plan has supposedly failed. It recognises that behaviour change is being attempted in ordinary life. The next chapter will ask whether the new pattern is serving the purpose you chose, including whether its benefits justify the effort and whether a different direction would be wiser.

Return to this later

Ask whether you can now describe an unwanted episode without expanding it into a total verdict. The wording may still feel awkward. What matters is whether it helps you address consequences and choose the next action more accurately.

Review repeated lapses as information about the plan, not merely as separate occasions to forgive yourself. If the alternative is consistently unavailable, redesign it. If the setting is unsuitable, renegotiate it. If distress, loss of control or impairment is significant, seek appropriate professional support rather than escalating self-directed exercises.

Lena finds that returning is a meaningful part of her progress, but she does not use it to ignore the feed detours. She reviews why they remain attractive and whether her break arrangements are realistic. Both observations can coexist: the old action still occurs, and the response afterwards is less all-or-nothing.

Arun discovers that apologising without changing the household agreement is not enough. Jo discovers that some sessions need to end rather than be rescued. Each adjustment follows the actual situation, not a universal instruction to restart immediately.

Your plan now contains a route back, a method for learning and a way to address genuine impact. You do not need to earn the right to continue by feeling sufficiently guilty. Nor do you need to keep a project forever to demonstrate perseverance. The next review concerns usefulness, not loyalty to the plan you first wrote.

11. Review Whether the New Pattern Is Serving You

A moment you recognise

Lena can report that she used the alternative first in four of six eligible drafting windows in her small sample. The number is easy to repeat. The more important question is harder: did the arrangement make work more manageable without creating another problem?

Some drafting felt less interrupted. Necessary calls remained available. She also spent too long classifying entries, and the missing-information process was still unreliable. A single number could not hold all of that.

Jo has a different result. Her rough-first-mark approach helps on clear briefs but not on commissions where the client has not chosen a direction. Arun's departure cue reduces optional chores at one transition, while the bus service remains unpredictable. Each plan has a limited use and a boundary.

Review is the place to ask whether the target still matters, whether the alternative fits and whether the costs are acceptable. It is not a ceremony for declaring yourself transformed or a trial in which you must prove the method worked.

This chapter helps you reach a practical decision: continue, simplify, revise, pause, seek support or stop. The decision should follow the evidence and your circumstances, not the desire to defend the time you have already invested.

What may be happening

Several different claims can be confused in a progress review. You may have performed the alternative. It may have helped with the immediate task. It may have become easier to begin. It may also work in another setting. Evidence for one claim does not automatically establish the others.

A completed drafting block does not prove that a habit has formed. A reduction in a detour does not show that an old association has disappeared. A useful cue at work does not guarantee the same response at home. Keep the claim close to what you actually observed.

In a University of Surrey interview, habit researcher Pippa Lally again emphasises that formation times vary rather than following a universal 66-day schedule. Her explanation is a reminder to resist treating a popular number as an individual deadline. [11]

For this book, review dates organise decisions. They are not tests of whether your brain has completed a process. You can continue a useful cue after the review, stop an unhelpful record before it or seek support at any point.

The question “Is the pattern serving me?” also includes purpose. You may have reduced an action that no longer seems especially important, while a different need has become more urgent. A plan should remain accountable to your life, rather than requiring your life to remain organised around the original plan.

Examine benefits, costs and uncertainty together

Begin with what appears useful. Perhaps you return sooner after a detour, ask for information more clearly or leave fewer optional tasks in the departure window. Use concrete examples rather than a broad claim about becoming disciplined.

Then examine costs. Has the plan increased anxiety about ordinary phone use? Has tracking become burdensome? Have you shifted tasks to another person without agreement? Have you removed a source of rest, access or community that matters? A lower behaviour count is not enough to justify these costs automatically.

Finally identify uncertainty. Your sample may be small, your starting record incomplete or your circumstances different across attempts. You may have changed the environment and the response at the same time. You can still make a practical choice without presenting the result as a scientific demonstration.

Lena's three initial episode notes are not a reliable baseline for calculating an improvement percentage. They were selected because she remembered the problem. Her later sample is more structured, but it is still small and self-recorded. She therefore reports what she observed rather than claiming a precise effect.

This kind of caution need not make review indecisive. "The marker is useful and has little cost, so I will keep it" is a reasonable decision. "The log is burdensome and adds little, so I will reduce it" is another. Neither requires proof that every component caused a lasting change.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “What is easier or more workable now, and what example supports that impression?” You might identify a clearer start, a more honest update or a return after an unwanted action. Include what mattered beyond the count.

Next ask: “What has become harder because of the plan?” Do not leave this question blank merely because you want the project to succeed. A method that interferes with care, access, rest or relationships needs attention even when it produces an apparently desirable number.

Finally ask: “What would I choose now if I were not trying to justify my previous effort?” This question can make stopping or simplifying easier to consider. Time spent on a project does not oblige you to keep investing in a method that no longer fits.

Jo realises that she has learned enough from counting starts. She keeps the draft cue and ends the count. Arun retains the optional-task parking note, but shifts attention to the actual handover and transport arrangements. Lena keeps the uncertainty marker while reducing the record and pursuing clearer priorities.

None of these decisions is a final verdict about personal ability. They are revisions to a working arrangement. You can return to the plan when circumstances change, but you do not need to keep it active simply to prove that the effort was meaningful.

A different response

Lena writes a review that separates observation from interpretation and decision.

Review area	What the fictional sample suggests
Opportunity	Six of eight planned windows met the existing definition.
Response	Four began with the alternative; two began with the feed and included a return.
Practical value	Marking uncertainty helped her continue some known material.
Costs and gaps	Classification took too long; information and priorities remained inconsistent.
Decision	Keep the marker, simplify recording and discuss the information process.

She adds a limit: “This sample does not establish a permanent change or show which component caused the result.” The statement does not cancel the useful observations. It prevents them from being stretched into a claim they cannot support.

She also checks the original purpose. The aim was to keep more drafting within available work time, not to eliminate all personal-feed use. The new review therefore includes workload and completion conditions, rather than only phone behaviour.

You can use the same structure without a table. Describe the opportunity, the response, the practical effect, the costs and the next decision. Where you have no clear information, say so. A review that admits uncertainty can still end with a clear, proportionate action.

Transfer carefully, or do not transfer at all

A response that helps in one setting may be worth considering elsewhere, but begin with the differences. The cue, responsibilities, access needs and consequences may change. Do not assume that success with a personal feed at work justifies a rule about all digital activity at home.

Lena's evening phone use includes contact with distant friends and care coordination. Her work cue cannot simply be extended to every uncertain feeling after dinner. She would need a separate reason and a new context review before choosing another target. There may be no reason to change it at all.

Jo's rough-first-mark approach applies to a sufficiently clear brief. It should not replace research needed for accuracy, permissions or a new medium. Arun's departure note may help with another regular transition, but a journey requiring different support needs its own preparation.

A cautious transfer question is: "Which part of the method might fit, and what must be checked again?" You might retain the idea of a visible starting cue while changing its content. You might retain a lapse-learning question without keeping the same record.

There is no obligation to turn one useful project into a programme of continuous self-improvement. Sometimes the best result is an ordinary arrangement that now requires little attention. You can let it become part of life without immediately searching for the next behaviour to optimise.

Try one small change

Complete a brief usefulness review. Name one benefit, one cost or unresolved issue, one uncertainty and one decision. Avoid adding a score unless it would genuinely help interpret the situation.

Choose among continuing, simplifying, revising, pausing, seeking support or stopping. These are not levels of achievement. A stopped project can be a well-reasoned decision. A continued project may still need care and revision. State why the choice fits now.

If you change an arrangement involving someone else, tell them what affects them. Arun needs to discuss any revised handover, not simply alter his private plan. Lena needs to communicate work dependencies, not share every observation about her phone. Match the information to the relationship and purpose.

Choose a later review only if one is useful. It may be prompted by a changed role, a new device, a repeated difficulty or a return of burdensome monitoring. You do not need a lifelong calendar of self-assessment.

Your working plan is now more than a promise to do something differently. It includes evidence about conditions, a tested response, protected needs, a route back and criteria for deciding whether to continue. The final chapter brings these elements into a compact plan that can be used without carrying the whole book into every ordinary moment.

Return to this later

When you return, start with the purpose rather than the old count. Is this still the behaviour worth changing? Has the setting changed? Is the alternative available? Does the support arrangement remain fair and useful?

A new difficulty does not necessarily require a stricter version of the old plan. It may require a different question. Lena's new manager may change reporting expectations. Arun's household responsibilities may increase. Jo may begin work in an unfamiliar medium. Those changes deserve fresh attention rather than automatic comparison with an earlier period.

Notice what no longer needs recording. If a simple cue is useful and unintrusive, you may keep it without repeatedly proving its value. If a log has become a source of preoccupation, remove it and seek support where appropriate. The method should not become the behaviour you are struggling to stop.

Your final review can also acknowledge that some goals remain unresolved. The report process may still need organisational change. The bus may still be unreliable. Pain may still limit a session. A habit project can make one part of a situation more workable without solving the whole situation.

Carry forward the distinctions that helped you see clearly: action rather than identity, opportunity rather than calendar day, a possible interpretation rather than a fact, and a useful response rather than a universal rule. These distinctions are more durable than a dramatic declaration that you have finally become a different person.

12. Your Personal Habit-Change Plan

A moment you recognise

Lena reads her first note: “Stop wasting time and become focused.” Beside it is the plan she now uses. The newer version is less dramatic. It names a drafting window, an uncertain sentence, a first response, a protected care route and a way to review what happened.

She still sometimes opens the feed. She also recognises the setting more clearly, asks for missing information more directly and returns without declaring the whole day lost. The workload question remains unfinished. Her plan is useful because it can hold these facts together.

Arun's plan includes an optional-task note and a household agreement that still needs review. Jo's plan includes a rough first mark, a clearer brief and permission to stop a record that was making work harder. Neither has turned into an idealised version of a productive person.

Your plan should be similarly recognisable. It should describe something you can try in ordinary life and explain when that response is not enough. The plan belongs to your circumstances, not to a universal timetable or a promise in a title.

This chapter gathers the pieces into one reference. You can keep the full version, use a shorter card or decide that the most useful next step is support rather than another self-directed attempt.

What may be happening

A plan can fail through vagueness, but it can also become too complicated to use. Each chapter has added a useful question. You do not need to ask all of them during every episode. The final task is to separate the working reference from the background reasoning.

The working reference names the behaviour, setting, cue, first response and fallback. The background notes explain why the goal matters, what you observed, which interpretations remain uncertain and what support is needed. Keep those notes where you can consult them, not where they continually interrupt you.

Protected needs belong in both versions when there is a risk of forgetting them. Lena's necessary calls and work duties remain visible. Arun's safe preparation and essential care handover remain visible. Jo's access tools and pain-related rest remain visible.

A plan is also an agreement with reality. If a response depends on another person, say whether that person has agreed and what happens if they are unavailable. If a workplace process needs authorisation, do not treat a private decision as sufficient. If a health concern deserves professional care, the plan should direct you towards that care rather than compete with it.

The final version does not need to appear polished. It needs to answer, "What is the next appropriate choice in the situation I have actually described?" A plain sentence you can find is more valuable than a beautiful document you rarely open.

The completed plan: Lena's working example

Element	Lena's current version
Behaviour and setting	Personal-feed detours at uncertain sentences during an agreed, non-urgent drafting window.
Purpose	Keep more useful drafting within available work time.
First response	Mark the gap; write the next known line or make a specific clarification request.
Alternative need	Take a deliberate pause when a pause is appropriate, with a clear return point.
Environment	Feed shortcut away from the immediate work route; uncertainty marker in the draft.
Protected needs	Necessary calls, care contact, authentication, accurate reporting and genuine breaks.
Support	Agreed priority for each window; figure-confirmation process still needs work.
Return and review	Return at the next suitable point; review brief examples and practical costs, not a streak.

This fictional plan is not a prescription. Its details fit Lena's role and her chosen target. Your own plan may be shorter, use a different medium or require a different kind of support.

Notice that the plan names an unresolved issue. It does not claim that the information process is fixed because Lena has learned a new response. The plan can support her own choice while preserving the legitimacy of asking the organisation to organise the work more clearly.

Pause and reflect

Ask: “Does this plan still answer the question I started with?” The answer may have changed. You may now understand that the behaviour offers relief, completes an optional task or avoids a difficult starting decision. Keep that understanding tentative where the evidence remains limited.

Next ask: “Could I use the short version on an ordinary busy day?” If not, remove explanation from the reference and place it in the background notes. Retain only what helps identify the next action, fallback and stopping point.

Finally ask: “What would tell me to ask for more help?” This might be significant distress, persistent impairment, a serious concern outside the scope of the book or a practical barrier you cannot address alone. You do not have to complete all exercises before contacting a qualified professional or a relevant support service.

The care boundary is not a statement that everyday change is unimportant. It recognises that different concerns need different forms of support. A plan for optional chores is not a plan for compulsions. A phone-use experiment is not treatment for a condition. A reminder cannot substitute for safe staffing or necessary care.

Leave room for a life in which the project is not central. You may want more time for relationships, more reliable departures or less evening catch-up. Those purposes should remain larger than the record you use to support them.

A different response

Compare the closing decisions of the three fictional readers.

Lena keeps the uncertainty marker and the short return cue. She reduces logging and asks for a clearer information process. Her alternative helped in some suitable windows, but she does not claim that the feed detour has vanished. She leaves the care-contact route open.

Arun keeps a visible departure cue and a place for optional tasks. He acknowledges that some delays still arise from transport and essential handovers. He discusses those conditions directly instead of promising to solve them through a better attitude. He does not speed or skip essential tasks to preserve a punctuality record.

Jo keeps the rough-first-mark approach for sufficiently clear briefs. She stops counting starts, because the count encouraged easy work rather than useful work. She continues to use references deliberately and to rest when needed. Her plan helps her distinguish a research need from an open-ended detour, not eliminate research itself.

Each decision includes something to keep, something to revise and something that remains outside the individual's control. None is a story of total transformation. That is intentional. A useful habit plan should improve a real situation without requiring a false account of how completely it has changed.

Your own ending may be even simpler: a better question, a smaller target, a request for support or a decision to stop an unhelpful experiment. The value lies in the fit between the action and the life it is meant to serve.

Keep the plan portable and private

Store the reference in a format you can use. A short paper card, an accessible digital note or an audio reminder may work. You do not need a special product, a public challenge or a paid system to make the plan legitimate.

Include only information needed at the point of use. A workplace cue need not reveal family health details. A shared departure note need not contain an account of private feelings. A conversation with a supporter can use a summary rather than the full record.

Review privacy when using a new application or service. Consider what information is uploaded, who can access it and whether an employer's or client's material is involved. Do not use a habit experiment as a reason to bypass security rules. Where you are unsure, choose a simpler record or seek appropriate guidance.

You can also decide what not to retain. Old episode notes may no longer be useful. Follow any applicable requirements for work information, but do not assume that a personal reflection journal needs to become a permanent archive. Keep what serves your purpose and protect it appropriately.

Portability also means being able to adapt when life changes. The most useful part may be the sequence of questions rather than the exact cue: What is happening? What does the action do now? What is another workable response? What needs support? What should happen after an imperfect attempt?

Try one small change

Complete the personal plan in the toolkit, then reduce it to a short reference. Read it once as though you were encountering it for the first time. Remove anything that is vague, punitive, dependent on an unagreed resource or unnecessary for the next decision.

Choose one suitable opportunity to use the current version. Do not manufacture a difficult test. If the opportunity does not occur, note the change in circumstances and decide whether the plan needs a different setting. A calendar entry is not an obligation to ignore what the day actually requires.

Arrange a review only as far ahead as is useful. You may review after several comparable opportunities, after a changed role or when the method creates a problem. The flexible four-week section offers a sequence, not a deadline for becoming automatic.

Tell any affected person what they need to know about a changed agreement. Keep private details private unless sharing serves a purpose you have chosen or a legitimate requirement has been explained. A plan can be accountable without becoming a public performance.

Your final small change may be subtraction. Remove an alert. End a count. Shorten a script. Restore a useful application. Ask for clearer work instead of adding a stronger self-instruction. The plan is meant to make the next response more workable, and sometimes that requires less machinery rather than more effort.

Return to this later

When you revisit the book, begin with a question rather than an accusation: “What has changed in the pattern or the circumstances?” A familiar action returning may point to a new cue, a missing resource or a plan that no longer fits. It is not automatically evidence that earlier learning was unreal.

Keep the right to choose the scale of the project. You may continue with one behaviour, apply a useful principle elsewhere or stop active work. You are not obliged to maintain an endless queue of personal defects awaiting correction.

The strongest outcome is not necessarily the smallest count. It may be a clearer task, a more reliable handover, a fairer request, an accessible starting point or a less punitive return after a detour. Those outcomes matter because they connect the method with ordinary life.

If the concern becomes more serious, move towards appropriate support. The tools are not designed to settle whether a behaviour is a disorder or to replace individual care. They are designed to help you observe, reflect and make proportionate choices within a low-risk everyday project.

You now have a working account of one pattern and a way to revise it. The toolkit that follows brings the documents together. Use only what helps. Change the pattern without turning yourself into the problem, and let the plan remain small enough that there is room for the life you hoped it would support.

Practical toolkit

Thirteen tools for one working plan

These original tools are planning aids, not assessment scales or treatment protocols. Use only the pages that answer a useful question about your chosen everyday behaviour. A short note, spoken response or accessible document can replace any table. Blank forms are for personal use; completed examples are fictional.

T01. One-behaviour project brief

Begin here before adding techniques. The brief keeps the target small enough to describe and protects what matters around it. Revise it when your understanding changes.

Field	Your brief
My everyday behaviour	Name an action, not a personality label: _____
The particular setting	When and where does this project apply? _____
Why it matters to me	What practical consequence do I want to change? _____
What must be preserved	Care, access, rest, safety, relationships or duties: _____
What is outside the target	Necessary actions and different situations: _____
Scope check	Is this low risk and suitable for self-directed reflection? _____

Read your brief literally. Would you know whether an opportunity had occurred? Does the target require another person to change before you can act? If so, record the required agreement rather than hiding it inside a personal promise. Serious distress or risk calls for appropriate support, not a more demanding brief.

T02. Behaviour-context record

Completed example: Lena

Purpose: separate what happened from what you think it meant. One reasonably clear episode can be enough to begin. Do not invent a thought or exact duration to complete the record.

Part	Fictional entry
Setting	An agreed drafting window after handover; no immediate response duty.
What preceded the action	The summary needed an unconfirmed figure.
Remembered interpretation	“I should know this already.” Other thoughts are uncertain.
Observable action	Opened a personal feed before preparing a clarification request.
Immediate consequence	A diversion from the difficult sentence.
Later consequence	The figure remained unresolved and less drafting time remained.
Other conditions	The confirmation route was unclear. Necessary care calls still needed to be available.
Useful question	Would marking the uncertainty make a next step easier?

The record does not establish that the thought caused the action or that the detour caused all later overtime. It identifies a plausible point to investigate. Lena retains the distinction between an unrelated feed and necessary phone functions.

When reading your own account, circle any sentence that claims more than you know. Replace “I was sabotaging myself” with the action and consequence you can actually describe. A fair record is more useful than a dramatic explanation.

T02. Your behaviour-context record

Use this page for one episode, or copy the headings into your preferred format. Leave a field blank when it adds no useful information. Write “uncertain” instead of reconstructing a detail you cannot remember.

Question	Your note
What was the setting?	Task, place and relevant conditions: _____
What happened just before?	A visible event, cue or change: _____
What did I remember thinking?	Exact words if available; otherwise “not sure”: _____
What did I do?	Observable action: _____
What became easier immediately?	Possible benefit or function: _____
What happened later?	Practical effect, including other influences: _____
What does not fit my first explanation?	An exception or missing detail: _____
What is worth investigating next?	One question: _____

Keep interpretation separate from observation. A feeling can be real while your explanation remains uncertain. Another person's delayed response is an event; the motive you assign to it is a different claim.

Before storing the note, remove private details about other people that are not needed. Decide when you will review it and when it can be discarded. The record should support the project, not become an archive of everything you believe you have done wrong.

T03. Immediate function and protected needs

This sheet asks what the behaviour might do in the moment and what a different response should preserve. It does not require a hidden motive. A practical barrier can be more important than an emotional explanation.

Prompt	Your working answer
The action may offer	Relief, novelty, completion, delay or something else: _____
Evidence for that possibility	What did I actually notice? _____
Another possible explanation	What else could fit? _____
A genuine requirement	Information, rest, access, time or agreement needed: _____
What an alternative should do	Make which next moment more workable? _____
What it must not remove	Necessary contact, support or other protected need: _____

Worked distinction: Lena's feed may offer relief from uncertainty. The report also genuinely requires a confirmed figure. Marking the gap addresses the drafting sequence; requesting the figure addresses the information need. Neither action proves that uncertainty was the sole cause of the detour.

Review whether the proposed alternative actually serves the stated function. A fashionable technique is not automatically a good fit. Where the missing resource belongs to a shared system, name the person or process that can address it. Mark the request as pending until an arrangement exists.

T04. Thought-and-action worksheet

Use one thought relevant to your chosen behaviour. This is not a requirement to challenge every thought, and it should not be used to deny genuine risk or unfair conditions.

Prompt	Your response
The thought or rule	“ _____ ”
What I know	Observable facts: _____
What I am predicting or assuming	Uncertain interpretation: _____
What else could be true	One plausible alternative, not forced reassurance: _____
A more accurate statement	“ _____ ”
The practical action it supports	A step I can reasonably take: _____

Example: “I must feel ready before drafting” becomes “I need a sufficiently clear brief and a manageable first mark; confidence is not a formal prerequisite for a private rough draft.” The next action might be one rough element or a question about the brief, depending on what is actually missing.

Stop when the sheet has clarified an action or identified information you need. Do not keep completing it until all uncertainty disappears. If this kind of recording increases repetitive checking, distress or preoccupation, stop and consider a different method or appropriate professional advice. A useful thought record makes a decision clearer; it does not become a condition for being allowed to proceed.

T05. Alternative-response planner

Completed example: one drafting decision

A replacement should fit the cue, remain available and preserve necessary functions. The example is deliberately narrower than a general plan to become focused.

Element	Lena’s version
Cue	A local uncertainty in a workable draft during an agreed non-urgent window.
First response	Mark the missing information and write the next known line.
When information blocks all progress	Prepare a specific question naming the missing item and when it is needed.
When a pause is appropriate	Choose a genuine break and leave a clear return point.
What is needed	A usable draft template and an agreed contact route.
What remains pending	A consistently reliable figure-confirmation process.
Protected needs	Care calls, work contact, authentication, accuracy and rest.
Brief reference	“Gap, question or pause?”

Lena checks that the marker cannot be mistaken for verified information. She also checks that the phone remains usable for necessary contact. The plan does not require her to complete a report when the required input has not arrived.

An alternative may need adjustment after the first attempt. In this example, a lengthy clarification request became another delay, so Lena shortened its format. Revision improved the response more than a stronger promise would have done.

T05. Your alternative-response planner

Write one action you can begin at the relevant point. Avoid naming a desired feeling such as confidence or calm as though it were an action you could perform on demand.

Element	Your plan
Recognisable cue	When I notice _____ in _____
First response	I will first _____
Immediate purpose	This should help me _____
Resources or permission needed	_____
Fallback	If the response is unavailable, I can _____
Legitimate stopping condition	I will stop or seek support when _____
Protected needs	The plan must preserve _____
Short reference	In a few usable words: _____

Test the route gently. Can you find what you need? Is the action accessible? Does it fit the available time? Do not manufacture distress, deprivation or temptation to create a test.

After one suitable attempt, note one practical observation rather than assigning yourself a score. “The file was difficult to locate” suggests a different revision from “the task was not clear enough.” Keep the explanation proportionate. The planner is a proposal for an everyday experiment, not proof that you have identified the mechanism of a habit or a guarantee that the old response will stop occurring.

T06. Environment and access review

Review the route to both actions: the familiar detour and the intended response. Begin with changes you can make safely and legitimately. Shared spaces and work systems may require agreement.

Feature to inspect	Current condition and possible change
Immediate shortcuts	What is easiest to reach or open? _____
Route to the alternative	What unnecessary steps could be removed? _____
Task information	What is missing, unclear or difficult to locate? _____
Access requirements	Which tools, formats or contacts must remain available? _____
Shared effects	Who else could be affected by a change? _____
Permission and reversibility	Who can authorise it, and how can it be undone? _____

Choose one adjustment: _____

Its practical purpose: _____

What would make me reverse or revise it: _____

Example: move an unrelated feed shortcut away from the immediate work route, while keeping necessary calls and authentication available. Another example is putting a departure note where preparation begins, rather than adding more alarms elsewhere.

Avoid punitive barriers, public exposure and arrangements that give another person unnecessary control over your device or private information. The change should make an appropriate response easier, not demonstrate commitment through inconvenience. Review the actual effect after use, including anything that became harder.

T07. Difficult-conditions response card

A demanding day may contain a suitable opportunity, a reduced opportunity or no comparable opportunity. This card helps distinguish them without requiring a perfect decision in advance.

Situation	Your practical response
Usual opportunity, uncomfortable feelings	The ordinary first response is _____
Reduced but usable opportunity	A smaller useful version is _____
Urgent duty or essential care takes priority	I will _____ and not score this as an ordinary attempt.
The environment repeatedly prevents the plan	The request or support route is _____
A safety or significant health concern appears	I will pause the exercise and seek appropriate help.

One social-pressure script: “I am changing this particular part of my routine. The arrangement I can offer is _____. What do we need to agree so that your responsibilities are also covered?”

A script for competing work demands: “I can do _____ in the available period. If _____ takes priority, what should move, and who can confirm that decision?”

Scripts assume an ordinary conversation is reasonably safe. They cannot remove unequal power or guarantee agreement. When a request is risky or repeatedly dismissed, consider an appropriate confidential support route. Keep the plan connected to the actual conditions rather than making a difficult day a test of how much you can absorb.

T08. Reminders, recording and privacy

Choose only the support that serves a decision. A reminder, a record and a supporter are separate options. You do not need all three.

Question	Your decision
What should the reminder prompt?	One action: _____
Where should it appear?	At this decision point: _____
What information is useful afterwards?	Opportunity, response and relevant effect: _____
When will I review?	A suitable point, not continuous inspection: _____
What stays private?	Names, health details, work content or other material: _____
When will I reduce or stop recording?	Burden, distress, preoccupation or little added value: _____

A minimal note can be: “The opportunity occurred. I used the alternative first. The missing instruction still blocked completion.” It does not need a mood score, exact duration or an account of every phone function.

Keep confidential work and personal information out of unapproved applications and AI services. Check the relevant rules where work systems are involved. A plain, appropriately stored note may be the simpler choice.

At the first review, remove one field you did not use. A support system should become lighter when possible. Its purpose is to help you act and learn, not to create an obligation to keep producing data about yourself.

T09. A limited support agreement

Use this only with someone who freely agrees. A supportive person is not a monitor, clinician or guarantor of your behaviour. Either person can ask to change or end the arrangement.

Agreement area	What we choose
The request	Help reviewing, a specific reminder, practical coordination or another task: _____
What is not requested	No surveillance, penalties or uninvited commentary: _____
Timing and availability	When and how we will make contact: _____
Information shared	The summary or work detail needed, not the whole private record: _____
Limits and fallback	What happens when support is unavailable: _____
Review or ending	How either person can revise or stop: _____

Invitation: “Would you be willing to help me think about this one everyday pattern? I would value _____. I am not asking you to watch me or make sure I never slip. We can try the arrangement once and decide whether it is useful.”

A declined request does not define your worth or the entire relationship. The other person may lack time, interest or capacity for this role. You can ask for something smaller or seek another source of support. Where shared responsibilities are affected, discuss those responsibilities directly rather than using the habit project as a substitute for an actual agreement.

T10. Lapse-learning sheet

Completed example: Arun

This example concerns an ordinary optional chore at departure time. It is not a clinical relapse plan. The purpose is to describe the event, address its effect and choose a proportionate revision.

Question	Fictional response
What happened?	I began washing extra dishes after departure preparation should have started.
What did I expect?	That it would be brief and avoid leaving work for someone else.
What conditions mattered?	The household task agreement was still unclear.
What was the impact?	The handover ran late and my brother waited.
What is appropriate now?	Complete essential preparation, give an honest update and travel safely.
Is repair needed?	Acknowledge the delay and discuss the actual task arrangement.
What will change?	Park optional chores at the cue and agree when they will be done.
What will not happen?	No speeding, skipped care or punishment to erase the delay.

Arun does not claim that a note will solve unreliable transport. He separates the part he can change from the part needing a different arrangement. The apology names the impact without requiring his brother to reassure him or immediately forgive the inconvenience. The plan is accountable because it leads to a practical decision, not because it contains a harsh description of Arun.

T10. Your lapse-learning sheet

Use after an ordinary unwanted episode when review is useful. You can take an appropriate return action first and reflect later. A lapse does not require a complete redesign or a public confession.

Question	Your note
What happened?	Action and setting, without an identity label: _____
Was this a comparable opportunity?	What was different, if anything? _____
Was the cue available?	_____
Was the alternative usable?	What helped or prevented it? _____
What needs attention now?	Return, update, repair, rest or support: _____
One revision, if needed	_____
What should remain unchanged?	A useful part of the plan: _____
What punitive response will I avoid?	_____

Finish with a decision, including “keep the plan for now” when that is reasonable. Do not invent a new technique merely because the page contains a revision field.

Repeated distress, loss of control, impairment or risk deserves appropriate assessment, not an increasingly strict version of this worksheet. The care guidance on page 3 and resources on page 143 remain relevant throughout the project. For an ordinary lapse, the aim is a more accurate return route and a practical response to any real consequence.

T11. A bounded progress record

Define the opportunity before interpreting a count. This record is descriptive and does not establish that a method caused a change. Use a few examples or words instead of numbers when that is clearer.

My eligible opportunity means: _____

Actions or situations outside the target: _____

Opportunity	First response	Relevant condition or effect
Example: planned drafting window replaced by urgent care contact	No comparable attempt	Attend to care; do not label the day a failed trial.
Example: suitable drafting window occurred	Marked the uncertain figure	Known section continued; confirmation still needed.
My example: _____	_____	_____
My example: _____	_____	_____
My example: _____	_____	_____

Review question: What can I reasonably say from these observations?

Limit: What can I not conclude? _____

Do not treat missing entries as failed attempts or fill them retrospectively with guesses. A small sample may help you decide whether a cue is usable while remaining insufficient for a precise improvement estimate. Keep a record only while it adds useful information. The desired outcome is a more workable situation, not a more impressive spreadsheet of your behaviour.

T12. Usefulness and direction review

Return to the purpose of your project. A reduced count is not enough if the method damages something more important. Consider the benefits, costs and limits together.

Review area	Your answer
Practical benefit	What became more workable? Give an example: _____
Remaining difficulty	What still requires attention? _____
Cost of the method	Time, tension, privacy, access or effects on others: _____
Uncertainty	What do these observations not establish? _____
Current purpose	Does this target still matter to me? _____
Decision	Continue, simplify, revise, pause, seek support or stop: _____
Reason and next step	Why this decision, and what follows? _____

Lena's review keeps a useful uncertainty marker, reduces a burdensome log and identifies an information process that still needs improvement. Jo ends a start count while retaining the rough-draft cue. These are decisions about fit, not ranks of success.

Where an agreement changes, communicate the practical effect to the relevant person. You need not share the entire private record. Choose another review point only where it serves a purpose, such as a changed role, a new setting or a recurring difficulty. Continuous self-review is not the price of maintaining a useful change.

T13. Personal habit-change plan

Completed example: Lena's compact version

My target: Opening a personal feed at an uncertain sentence during an agreed non-urgent drafting window. Necessary work contact and care calls are outside the target.

Why it matters: I want more useful drafting to remain within the available work period. The plan cannot solve missing information or excessive workload on its own.

My cue and first response: When a local uncertainty stops the draft, mark the gap and write the next known line. If information prevents useful continuation, make a specific clarification request.

My alternative need: When a genuine pause is appropriate, choose one and leave a return point. An urgent duty can replace the window.

My environment: Keep the marker in the template. Move the personal-feed shortcut away from the immediate work route without blocking necessary functions.

My support: Agree priorities for the window. The figure-confirmation process still needs a clearer arrangement. Mina may help me review a summary, not monitor the phone.

My return route: After a detour, return at the next suitable point. Review only the detail that can improve a decision. No extra work or withheld rest as punishment.

My review: Examine opportunity, response, usefulness and cost. Keep useful elements, reduce unnecessary recording and seek appropriate help if the concern becomes more serious.

This is an example, not a standard every reader must match. A more modest or differently structured plan may fit your circumstances better.

T13. Your personal habit-change plan

Use earlier notes to complete this page. Do not start a second behaviour here. Short answers are enough; put background explanations elsewhere when needed.

Element	Your current plan
Target and setting	_____
Personal purpose	_____
Cue and first response	_____
Fallback or legitimate pause	_____
Environment adjustment	_____
Protected needs	_____
Support agreed or still pending	_____
Return after an unwanted episode	_____
Review and stopping conditions	_____

Read the plan as a practical instruction. Does it rely on a resource that has not arrived? Does it remove a necessary function? Does it require a feeling you cannot produce on demand? Revise those points before adding another technique.

Choose one suitable opportunity to use this version, then review what matters. You can pause the project, simplify it or obtain professional advice at any stage. The plan does not establish that your difficulty is merely a habit or that a self-directed method is sufficient.

Keep one usable sentence: “The next appropriate choice here is _____.”

T13. The short reference card

Notice. Choose. Return. Review.

This is a compact editorial aid, not a validated intervention or a safety plan. Copy only the wording that helps with your one everyday project.

At this point	My brief instruction
Notice	The cue I am looking for is _____.
Choose	My first workable response is _____.
Protect	I will preserve _____. If the opportunity is unsuitable, I will _____.
Return	After the old response, the next appropriate action is _____.
Review	Later, I will ask what helped, what cost too much and what support is missing.

On a difficult day, the card can be shorter still: “What is happening? What is appropriate now? What needs support?” You do not have to answer all three in writing.

The reference should not become another object you must inspect repeatedly. Keep it available rather than constantly visible if that is more comfortable. Stop using it if it increases distress or preoccupation.

The pages that follow offer a flexible four-week sequence for applying the book. They do not add a second target or set a habit-change deadline. You can use the sequence slowly, combine activities, skip unnecessary records or decide that the most useful next step is a conversation with an appropriate professional or support service.

A flexible four-week practice

A sequence, not a deadline

The next twenty-eight activities apply the book to the same everyday behaviour. They are arranged across four suggested weeks, but they are not a claim about the time required to change a habit. An activity can take several suitable opportunities, be combined with another or be skipped when it adds nothing useful.

Week 1 clarifies the target and the situation. Week 2 develops an alternative and tests its route. Week 3 examines real conditions, support and lapses. Week 4 reviews usefulness and decides what to carry forward. The sequence is an editorial guide, not a validated programme or treatment dose.

Do not manufacture opportunities. An urgent care need, an unsuitable task or a changed work assignment may mean there is no comparable attempt. Record the circumstance only if that information is useful. You do not owe the workbook a daily result.

Keep one working plan rather than creating a new project for each activity. Use the toolkit only where a form helps. A spoken note or a single sentence can be sufficient. Check the care boundary on page 3 before beginning and whenever the concern becomes more serious.

At the end of any week, a useful decision may be to continue, simplify, seek support or stop. The practice should help you understand your situation more clearly, not make you feel that ordinary life is an obstacle to completing the book correctly.

Before the first activity

Choose the information you actually need

Write the current version of your target and one reason it matters. Then name a protected need. Keep those lines visible in the working plan so that the project does not quietly expand from one behaviour into a demand to change everything.

Choose how you will record useful observations. A short private note after selected opportunities is enough. Do not begin with a public pledge, a perfect streak or a complex tracking system. You can add detail later if it serves a real decision.

Lena's starting page contains a description of the personal-feed detour, the drafting setting and necessary contact that must remain available. She has three brief remembered examples, not a precise baseline. She labels them as examples rather than calculating a starting rate from them.

Arun's page separates optional chores from transport and essential handover delays. Jo's page separates necessary research and pain-related rest from open-ended browsing before a sufficiently clear draft. Your starting page should make a similarly relevant distinction, even if it uses very different words.

Choose a place to pause. If the exercise becomes distressing, intrusive or unsuitable, stop and return to the care guidance or an appropriate support route. If it simply feels unnecessary, leave it out. The value of the practice is in the decisions it supports, not in the number of pages you complete.

Week 1: Describe the pattern and choose the scope**Activities 1 and 2****Activity 1. Replace the identity label**

Write one sentence you have used about yourself after the behaviour. Translate it into an action and setting. “I am undisciplined” might become “I open a personal feed when a report sentence feels uncertain.” Retain the consequence that matters without making it a character description.

End with one question the new sentence allows you to investigate. Keep the revised wording on your working plan. You do not have to feel differently about yourself for the description to become more accurate.

Activity 2. Protect something important

Name one function the plan must preserve. Consider care contact, access tools, rest, safety, work requirements or shared responsibilities. State how an overly broad version of the goal could interfere with it.

For Lena, a total phone ban would remove useful functions along with the unwanted feed detour. Your protected need may be different. Add it to the plan before choosing a technique, and check whether it is something you can protect alone or something that requires an agreement.

At the end of these activities, you should have a more observable target and one limit on how you will pursue it. That is enough for this stage. Do not add an alternative merely to make the page feel finished.

Activities 3 and 4

Activity 3. Describe one episode

Use To2 or a short note to record the setting, remembered interpretation, action and consequence. Include a possible immediate benefit and a later cost. Write “unknown” where necessary.

Distinguish observation from explanation. You may know that you opened another application but not why. The record can still be useful. End by identifying one detail that might guide a practical change, rather than constructing an account of every influence on the event.

Activity 4. Look for an exception

Recall or notice one suitable situation in which the usual sequence did not occur. What was different about the task, information, timing or access to the alternative? Treat the difference as a clue, not proof of a cause.

An exception may show that the behaviour is narrower than your original description. Arun's optional-chore pattern is different from a missed bus after a timely departure. Revise the target if the exception reveals that you have combined unlike events.

Together, these activities produce a first account of the pattern and a reason to keep it provisional. A record containing only the most frustrating episodes may exaggerate its reach. A record containing only successful moments may hide its costs. You need enough honesty to choose a useful next question, not an exhaustive diary.

Activities 5, 6 and 7

Activity 5. Separate action from outcome

Name the action you can influence and the broader outcome you hope it supports. Leaving on time is not the same as controlling transport. Mark which part depends on other people or conditions. Keep those dependencies visible rather than including them in a personal promise.

Activity 6. Check the project's scope

Read the care guidance on page 3. Confirm that the target is an everyday, low-risk behaviour suitable for this book. If significant distress, impairment, danger or a concern outside this scope is present, choose appropriate support rather than a stricter self-directed project. You can pause here.

Activity 7. Write the one-behaviour brief

Complete T01 or write a paragraph naming the action, setting, purpose, protected needs and exclusions. Remove unrelated goals. Choose a suitable review point, not a deadline for permanent change.

Week 1 review: What became more precise? What remains uncertain? Is this still a worthwhile project? You may keep the target, narrow it or choose no project for now. The next week assumes that the scope is appropriate; it does not require that you have already reduced the behaviour.

Week 2: Develop and try a workable alternative

Activities 8 and 9

Activity 8. Identify a possible immediate function

Ask what the behaviour makes easier for a moment. Use To3 to distinguish that possible function from a genuine practical requirement. Relief from uncertainty and missing information may both matter, but they are not the same problem.

Write one requirement for a better response. For example, it might need to create a clear starting point, preserve a real pause or give an optional task somewhere to wait. Leave the explanation tentative when the evidence is limited.

Activity 9. Examine one interpretation

Choose a remembered thought that influences the sequence. Separate facts, predictions and rules using To4 or a short note. Then write a more accurate statement connected with a practical action.

Do not replace a realistic concern with forced reassurance. “The assignment is unclear, so I will ask which outcome is required” may be more useful than “I can handle anything.” End when the reflection clarifies a next step; do not continue until you feel completely certain.

At this stage, your plan should explain what the alternative needs to do and identify one interpretation worth revising. It does not need a catalogue of mental techniques. The next activities turn those decisions into a response that fits the actual setting.

Activities 10 and 11

Activity 10. Draft the first response

Complete the cue and first-action lines in T05. Choose an action that can begin in the relevant setting. Add a fallback and a legitimate stopping condition. Check that the response addresses the situation rather than merely sounding productive.

Keep protected needs visible. Lena's response must preserve necessary contact. Jo's must preserve appropriate rest and useful research. Your plan should include the equivalent requirements from your own project.

Activity 11. Rehearse the route safely

At an ordinary time, check that you can find the materials, cue or contact needed for the response. Do not deliberately provoke distress or temptation. This is a practical rehearsal, not a test of resistance.

Notice one obstacle. A hidden note, an awkward template or a missing name suggests a concrete revision. Make that revision before concluding that you need greater commitment. If the response requires permission or another person's action, record that requirement and seek an agreement where appropriate.

After these activities, you should have a response that is more than an intention. You know where it starts, what it requires and what to do when it is unsuitable. It may still need revision after actual use. A rehearsal checks usability; it does not establish that the pattern has changed.

Activities 12, 13 and 14

Activity 12. Change one environmental feature

Use To6 to make the useful response easier or the unrelated shortcut less immediate. Preserve access, safety and required functions. Choose a reversible change within your authority. Record any agreement still pending rather than treating it as available support.

Activity 13. Try one suitable opportunity

Use the current response when an ordinary eligible situation occurs. Afterwards, note whether the cue was recognised, the action was available and the result helped. If no suitable opportunity occurs, do not manufacture one. Review the setting instead.

Activity 14. Revise one practical detail

Choose one adjustment supported by the attempt. Shorten an instruction, move a cue or clarify a request. “No revision yet” is also an option. Avoid responding to disappointment by making the plan harsher or adding several unrelated techniques.

Week 2 review: What was actually usable? What remained unresolved? Your first trial may reveal an environmental or organisational need rather than a personal deficiency. Keep the plan small enough that you can tell what you are asking it to do.

Week 3: Work with real conditions and support**Activities 15 and 16****Activity 15. Prepare a reduced version**

Choose one ordinary difficult condition, such as a rushed transition or a repetitive task. Use T07 to identify a smaller useful response and a condition in which rest, care or another duty takes priority.

Do not make the reduced version almost as demanding as the full one. Its purpose is to preserve a sensible choice under changed conditions, not to maintain an appearance of daily compliance. You can make a provisional decision and review it later.

Activity 16. Make one specific support request

Identify a resource or agreement that would help. Name who can decide and what you are asking them to do. A clearer handover or instruction may be more useful than general encouragement.

Prepare for an incomplete or declined response. A request is not implemented simply because you made it. Record what was agreed, what remains pending and what you can reasonably do meanwhile. Do not keep refining your phrasing as though another person's authority, workload or willingness were entirely under your control.

Together, these activities connect the personal response with the conditions around it. Your plan should now show where individual action is possible and where a shared decision is required.

Activities 17 and 18

Activity 17. Choose the lightest useful reminder

Use To8 to decide whether you need a cue, a later record or both. Place a reminder at the relevant decision point and remove duplicate alerts. Choose a review time that does not require continuous self-inspection.

Write a stopping condition for tracking, such as growing distress, excessive classification or little useful information. You can stop the record while keeping the action. A log is not proof of seriousness.

Activity 18. Agree a limited support role

Only where helpful, invite someone to support a specific part of the project using To9. Ask whether they are willing. Explain what is not requested, including surveillance or penalties. Agree how either person can change or end the arrangement.

You may decide that no supporter is needed for this ordinary project. Where shared responsibilities are affected, discuss those responsibilities directly. A private plan is not a substitute for an agreement about who does what.

At the end of these activities, the support system should have a clear purpose and a manageable size. If you have created more administration than the project needs, remove something before adding another form of accountability.

Activities 19, 20 and 21

Activity 19. Write a return route

Add one action for the moment you notice the old response. It might be reopening the draft or stopping an optional task. Exclude punishment and unsafe compensation. A return can include an appropriate pause or stopping when the opportunity is no longer suitable.

Activity 20. Review a lapse, or prepare for one

Use T10 for an ordinary episode if one occurred. Otherwise, read the example and write only the return instruction. Do not create a lapse to complete the exercise. Separate what is appropriate now from what can be reviewed later.

Activity 21. Address a real shared impact

Where someone was affected, communicate the practical consequence and any proposed repair. Do not demand reassurance or immediate forgiveness. Where there was no shared impact, skip the apology exercise. A private detour does not automatically require public disclosure.

Week 3 review: Did the plan become more realistic under pressure? Was support actually delivered? Did reminders help or become burdensome? Keep the useful distinction between a changed opportunity and an unwanted response within a suitable opportunity.

Week 4: Review usefulness and choose what continues

Activities 22 and 23

Activity 22. Assemble a bounded record

Use TII or a few brief notes to review selected opportunities. Define which situations were eligible. Do not fill missing entries with guesses or classify urgent duties as failed attempts.

Describe what you observed without claiming a precise effect from an uncertain starting point. A small sample can suggest that a response is usable while remaining insufficient to establish causation, permanence or general applicability.

Activity 23. Review the practical result

Return to the purpose you chose. Did the new response make that part of life more manageable? What example supports the impression? Consider task completion, communication, access and the ability to return, not only the frequency of the old action.

Include mixed results. Lena's marker helps with some drafting, while the information process remains unresolved. Your review can similarly preserve a useful element without claiming that the whole problem has been solved.

These activities are not an examination at the end of four weeks. They organise a decision from the information available. When the record is too limited to support a conclusion, you can choose a modest next step rather than manufacturing certainty.

Activities 24 and 25

Activity 24. Examine the cost of the method

Ask what became harder because of the plan. Consider time spent recording, tension about ordinary actions, privacy, access and effects on other people. Use T12 to put these costs beside any benefit.

A method that creates a more serious problem should be revised or stopped. Do not defend it merely because it produced a lower count. Your protected needs remain part of the outcome, not optional extras.

Activity 25. Choose a direction

Select one decision: continue, simplify, revise, pause, seek support or stop. Write a reason connected to your circumstances and observations. These choices are not ranked levels of achievement.

Ask what you would choose without the need to justify earlier effort. You may retain a cue while ending a record, choose a smaller target or decide that a shared process needs attention before another trial. Communicate any change that affects another person's actual responsibilities.

At this point, the plan should be accountable to your life rather than to its own continuation. A useful project can end with a simpler arrangement, not a dramatic promise that you will never perform the old behaviour again.

Activities 26, 27 and 28

Activity 26. Complete the personal plan

Use T₁₃ to gather the target, purpose, cue, response, fallback, protected needs, environment, support and review. Include what remains pending. Do not add a second behaviour merely because you have reached the final week.

Activity 27. Make a short reference

Reduce the plan to words you can use at the decision point. Keep background reasoning elsewhere. Check that the reference does not require constant inspection or expose private information. A plain sentence may be enough.

Activity 28. Decide when to return

Choose a useful review trigger, such as a changed role, a new setting or repeated difficulty. Alternatively, end active tracking and keep only what helps. You do not need a permanent self-improvement calendar.

Closing practice question: What do you now understand more accurately about the pattern, and what is the next appropriate choice? The answer may be an action, a request, a pause or support. Four weeks have not certified a new identity or a completed habit. They have offered a way to examine one everyday pattern without making yourself the problem.

Closing reflection

The next ordinary moment

The next time Lena reaches an uncertain sentence, nothing dramatic announces the choice. There is a document, a missing figure and a phone within reach. The familiar detour remains possible. So does a marked gap, a plain question, a deliberate pause or a return after noticing what happened.

That ordinary scale is easy to underestimate. A workable response does not have to feel like a revelation. It may simply leave a little less confusion behind it. It may help you communicate a real dependency instead of carrying it privately. It may prevent one detour from becoming a verdict about the entire day.

You began with a question about what the behaviour does in the moment. Your answer may now be more specific, or more uncertain in an honest way. Perhaps the action offers relief from an awkward beginning. Perhaps it gives an unfinished task a feeling of completion. Perhaps the conditions were more important than the explanation you first gave yourself.

Keep the part of the account that helps you choose. Release the need to make it a complete theory of who you are. A behaviour has a setting, a history and consequences. Your identity is not reducible to the most frustrating thing you remember doing.

The plan you carry forward can remain unfinished. Its purpose is to support the next appropriate decision, not to certify that your life is now under control. A useful next step can be small enough to do and honest enough to revise.

What you will carry forward

Return to the cover line: “Change the pattern without turning yourself into the problem.” It does not mean that consequences disappear, that every explanation excuses an action or that difficult feelings must be removed before you can act. It means that a personal attack is not a practical plan.

You have considered the cue, the interpretation, the immediate function, the alternative and the environment. You have distinguished support requested from support delivered. You have allowed room for a changed opportunity, a legitimate pause and a return after an unwanted episode. These distinctions can help you remain accurate when the desire for a simple verdict is strongest.

Choose one sentence to retain. It might be “Mark what is missing; write what is known.” It might be “Departure is a task too.” It might be a sentence nobody in this book has used, because your circumstances require different words.

Then choose what you will stop carrying. Perhaps it is an impossible deadline, a public streak, a record that has become intrusive or an expectation that you should solve shared conditions alone. Removing an unhelpful demand can be part of responsible change.

You do not need to leave the book with a new identity. You can leave with one clearer description, one usable response and one support request. When the next ordinary moment arrives, those may be enough to begin differently. When they are not enough, the next appropriate step may be help. Neither outcome turns you into the problem.

Evidence notes and limits

The bracketed references identify research and official guidance. The cases, scripts, sequence of chapters and worksheets are original editorial material. They have not been tested together as a clinical intervention. No organisation listed endorses the book.

Chapters 1 and 11: Habit involves more than frequent repetition. The formation study and Lally interview do not establish a universal timetable for breaking unwanted behaviours. A calendar review is not a measure of erased habit memory. [1, 2, 11]

Chapters 2 to 4: COM-B organises questions about capability, opportunity and motivation. The short-term mood account is one possible explanation of procrastination, not a diagnosis of every delay. The book's episode records remain provisional. [4, 5]

Chapters 5 and 6: Cognitive reframing and cue-linked planning inform the discussion. The scripts are adaptations for ordinary decisions, not individual therapy or a guarantee of change. Reference 7 is archived theoretical material, not current clinical guidance. [6, 7]

Chapters 7 and 8: Context research supports considering the setting. Specific study populations and behaviours should not be treated as universal. Organisational responsibilities remain distinct from personal coping. [3, 8, 12]

Chapters 9 and 10: Monitoring evidence concerns average effects across studies, not a requirement for intrusive tracking. Self-compassion experiments do not demonstrate permanent habit change from this book's lapse worksheet. [9, 10]

Care boundaries: The professional resources distinguish everyday self-help from concerns needing assessment or treatment. Service routes are geographically specific and may change. [13–20]

Small personal records can guide a practical choice. They do not establish causation, a diagnosis, neural change or how a method will work for another person. All numerical case records are fictional illustrations.

References

Research and practical frameworks

- [1] Wood, W., & Rünger, D. (2016). Psychology of habit. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 289–314. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033417>
- [2] Lally, P., van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W., & Wardle, J. (2010). How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.674>
- [3] Wood, W. (2024). Habits, goals, and effective behavior change. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 33(4), 226–232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09637214241246480>
- [4] Michie, S., van Stralen, M. M., & West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Science*, 6, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-42>
- [5] Sirois, F., & Pychyl, T. (2013). Procrastination and the priority of short-term mood regulation: Consequences for future self. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(2), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12011>
- [6] NHS. (n.d.). Reframing unhelpful thoughts. Every Mind Matters. <https://www.nhs.uk/every-mind-matters/mental-wellbeing-tips/self-help-cbt-techniques/reframing-unhelpful-thoughts/>
- [7] Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (n.d.). Implementation intentions. National Cancer Institute. Archived theoretical reference material; no longer maintained. <https://cancercontrol.cancer.gov/brp/research/constructs/implementation-intentions>

References, continued

- [8] Wood, W., Tam, L., & Guerrero Witt, M. (2005). Changing circumstances, disrupting habits. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(6), 918–933. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.6.918>
- [9] Harkin, B., Webb, T. L., Chang, B. P. I., Prestwich, A., Conner, M., Kellar, I., Benn, Y., & Sheeran, P. (2016). Does monitoring goal progress promote goal attainment? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 142(2), 198–229. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000025>
- [10] Breines, J. G., & Chen, S. (2012). Self-compassion increases self-improvement motivation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(9), 1133–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212445599>
- [11] University of Surrey. (2026, January 21). Does it really take 66 days to form a habit? We asked the expert, Dr Pippa Lally. <https://www.surrey.ac.uk/news/does-it-really-take-66-days-form-habit-we-asked-expert-dr-pippa-lally>
- [12] World Health Organization. (2026, September 15). Mental health at work. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-at-work>
- [13] National Institute of Mental Health. (2026). Caring for your mental health. Reviewed April 2026. <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/caring-for-your-mental-health>
- [14] MedlinePlus. (2025). Alcohol withdrawal. Medical Encyclopedia; reviewed January 1, 2025. <https://medlineplus.gov/ency/article/000764.htm>

References, continued

Care and geographically specific support

- [15] National Institute of Mental Health. (2024). Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). Reviewed December 2024.
<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/obsessive-compulsive-disorder-ocd>
- [16] National Institute of Mental Health. (n.d.). Eating disorders: What you need to know. <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/eating-disorders>
- [17] NHS. (n.d.). Drug addiction: Getting help. United Kingdom service information. <https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/addiction-support/drug-addiction-getting-help/>
- [18] 988 Suicide & Crisis Lifeline. (n.d.). Official service information. United States. <https://988lifeline.org/>
- [19] Samaritans. (n.d.). Official service information. United Kingdom and Ireland. <https://www.samaritans.org/>
- [20] Press Information Bureau, Government of India. (2024, October 13). Tele MANAS: Revolutionizing mental health care in India.
<https://www.pib.gov.in/PressNoteDetails.aspx?ModuleId=3&NoteId=153277>

Research links, official guidance and service information were checked on 3 October 2026. “n.d.” indicates that no publication date is specified here; it does not establish when the underlying information was first written. Use the official service for current access details.

The references identify the sources used for this book’s limited claims. They are not an exhaustive review of habit research or a directory of all available care. Appropriate treatment and local services depend on the concern and the reader’s circumstances.

Support and further information

Ordinary reflection and professional care

A qualified healthcare professional can help assess a concern that is distressing, difficult to control or interfering with daily life. Describe the behaviour, its effects and any safety concern. You do not need to arrive with a diagnosis or a completed habit record. NIMH provides general help-seeking information; its service routes are United States-specific. [13]

Concerns involving compulsions, eating-disorder behaviours, substance use, withdrawal or self-harm are outside this book's self-directed programme. Do not change prescribed treatment or attempt a withdrawal plan using these worksheets. The official resources in references 14–17 explain relevant care needs and, where applicable, local routes.

When support is urgent

For immediate danger or a medical emergency, contact local emergency services or go to an emergency department. A trusted person may help you reach care. The services below are not substitutes for emergency medical assistance.

United States: Call or text **988**, or use the official Lifeline chat. The service is available around the clock for suicidal crisis and emotional distress.

<https://988lifeline.org/> [18]

United Kingdom and Ireland: Call **Samaritans on 116 123**, free, at any time, for emotional support. <https://www.samaritans.org/> [19]

India: Call **Tele-MANAS on 14416**, the national toll-free, round-the-clock mental-health support route. <https://telemanas.mohfw.gov.in/> [20]

Elsewhere: Use your local emergency number when danger is immediate. For non-emergency support, consult a local health service or an official national mental-health directory. Check availability, costs, language and accessibility directly. Ask about confidentiality and its limits rather than assuming every service operates identically.

Your short habit reference is not a personal safety plan. Where an individual care or safety plan is needed, develop it with appropriately qualified support.

Tool index

To1. One-behaviour project brief.....	105
To2. Behaviour-context record: example.....	106
To2. Behaviour-context record: your page.....	107
To3. Immediate function and protected needs.....	108
To4. Thought-and-action worksheet.....	109
To5. Alternative-response planner: example.....	110
To5. Alternative-response planner: your page.....	111
To6. Environment and access review.....	112
To7. Difficult-conditions response card.....	113
To8. Reminders, recording and privacy.....	114
To9. A limited support agreement.....	115
To10. Lapse-learning sheet: example.....	116
To10. Lapse-learning sheet: your page.....	117
To11. A bounded progress record.....	118
To12. Usefulness and direction review.....	119
To13. Personal plan: completed example.....	120
To13. Personal plan: your page.....	121
To13. The short reference card.....	122

Other useful starting points

Care and safety.....	3
A flexible four-week practice.....	123
Evidence notes and limits.....	139
Support and further information.....	143
One behaviour. One workable response. Room to revise.	